NFESC CONTRACT N47408-04-D-8503, DELIVERY ORDER 0031

TANK 2 ENGINEERING REVIEW AND SUITABILITY FOR SERVICE EVALUATION

API 653 OUT-OF-SERVICE TANK INSPECTION BY OTHERS

FISC PEARL HARBOR RED HILL COMPLEX, HAWAII

Final Report October 2008

Prepared For:



Naval Facilities Engineering Command Engineering Service Center 1100 23rd Avenue, Code 232 Port Hueneme, California 93043-4370

Presented By:



Shaw Environmental Inc. 590 Paiea Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96819-1835

Prepared By:

ENTERPRISE ENGINEERING, INC.

Stephen J. DiGregorio, P.E. Structural Engineer, API 653 Certificate No. 1113

Submitted By:

Stephen S. Brooks, P.E. Principal, API 653 Certificate No. 17 2525 Gambell Street, Suite 200, Anchorage, AK 99503

EEI Project 08-4895

RED HILL TANK 2 FISC PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII

SUITABILITY FOR SERVICE EVALUATION (API 653 TANK INSPECTION BY OTHERS)

ABSTRACT

The API 653 out-of-service inspection of Tank 2 at FISC Pearl Harbor, Red Hill was performed by Engineering & Inspections Hawaii, Inc. (E&I) during April 2008 under contract to Shaw. Non-destructive examination of Tank 2 was performed by TesTex. Enterprise Engineering, Inc. (EEI) has reviewed the documentation on Tank 2 prepared by E&I and TesTex and has prepared this engineering evaluation of Tank 2. The engineering evaluation was performed in accordance with the applicable sections of API Standard 653 Third Edition December 2001, Addendum 3 February 2008 and is solely based on the items presented in E&I's inspection report and followup correspondence and discussions. Information not presented by E&I, but possibly relevant to the integrity of the tank, has not been considered in this evaluation. This report only provides a review of relevant inspection findings by others, plus an evaluation of tank suitability for service completed by EEI, and should be read in conjunction with the formal report prepared by E&I. The E&I recommendations for repair prior to returning the tank to service are discussed herein as necessary from an engineering and repair requirements perspective.

Based on information presented in E&I's report and information provided by TesTex, our evaluation determined there are conditions that affect the hydraulic and structural integrity of Tank 2. Mandatory repairs are required prior to placing the tank back in-service.

EEI recommends the next API 653 out-of-service internal inspection be scheduled for no later than April 2028 (i.e. 20 years from the date of the inspection). The next out-of-service internal inspection should be performed sooner than April 2028 if a change in condition or a change in service occurs. EEI also recommends the condition of the interior coating be inspected and assessed at every fuel quality inspection.

In accordance with API Standard 653, this report satisfies the requirement for an out-of-service integrity evaluation and as such, must be kept permanently available for the life of the tank as a historical record for future reference.



I hereby acknowledge that being familiar with the provisions of API Standard 653, the engineering evaluation was performed in accordance with the provisions of API Standard 653 and good engineering practices, and with the exercise of usual and customary care.

> This tank inspection determined that mandatory repairs are required. Based on the extent of the out-of-service inspection, Tank 2 is considered suitable for service after mandatory repairs are completed.

ANSI/API 653 Aboveground Storage Tank Inspector No. 1113

Tank 2 – Engineering Review FISC Pearl Harbor, Red Hill, HI EEI Project No. 08-4895 Final Report

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pag	je
SUMMARY	1
REPAIR ITEMS Repair Categories Repairs - Standard of Care Mandatory Repairs Steel Liner Repair Requirements EEI Added Mandatory Repairs Near Future Recommended Repairs (Non-Mandatory) Recommended Repairs for Long Term Serviceability	1 1 3 4 5
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS Inspection of Steel Liner Plates Inspection of Steel Liner Welds Existing Lap Welded Patch Plates Channels in the Upper Dome Barrel to Upper Dome Junction Addendum to TesTex Inspection Barrel to Lower Dome Junction Existing Grout Ports Piping Penetrations in Liner Plates Interior Coatings Hydrostatic Testing of Tank 2 Piping	5566677888
SUITABILITY FOR SERVICE EVALUATION	
STEEL LINER PLATE MINIMUM THICKNESS ASSESSMENT	
CONCLUSIONS1	1

APPENDICES

RED HILL TANK 2 FISC PEARL HARBOR, HAWAII

ENGINEERING REVIEW AND SUITABILITY FOR SERVICE EVALUATION

SUMMARY

Enterprise Engineering, Inc. (EEI) performed an engineering review and suitability for service evaluation of Tank 2 based on the API 653 out-of-service inspection report prepared by Engineering & Inspections Hawaii, Inc (E&I) and follow-up correspondence and discussions. Additionally, EEI performed a visit to Tank 2 on June 17, 2008 to observe the condition of the joint between the barrel and upper dome, the joint between the barrel and lower dome, and conditions in the lower dome. The engineering evaluation was performed in accordance with the applicable sections of API Standard 653 Third Edition December 2001, Addendum 3 February 2008. API 653 has only limited application to this highly custom designed concrete tank with a steel liner.

This report provides an engineering review of the E&I report with additional suitability for service assessment comments as appropriate. Information not presented by E&I, but possibly relevant to the integrity of the tank, has not been considered in this evaluation.

REPAIR ITEMS

Repair Categories

The recommendations for repair were categorized by E&I based on paragraph 4.6.2.6 in the NFESC Statement of Work. The categorization is a practical time line basis, not necessarily considering whether a repair recommendation is considered a mandatory repair to meet the strict provisions of API 653, or a compelling recommendation based on life extension and preservation or military criteria.

Repairs - Standard of Care

All repairs shall strictly meet the requirements of API 650 and API 653 regarding material, welding procedures and qualification of welders, non destructive examination (NDE) of welding, and testing requirements.

Mandatory Repairs

Mandatory repairs are repairs that are required prior to placing the tank in service as either compelling under API 653, for hydraulic and structural integrity, or from a practical consideration as the only opportunity to complete the work is prior to filling the tank. The following repairs are listed in the E&I report as mandatory.

1. Tank Access Structure: Clean the additional structural members welded to the central tower and inspect by visual and magnetic particle inspection.

EEI Comments:

- No exception taken to E&I's recommendation.
- Paragraph "Access Structure" of E&I's report states the welding to the central tower was noted to be covered by slag deposits and could not be inspected. EEI suggests the following clarification to E&I's recommendation: Remove slag deposits and inspect the welds of the additional structural members recommended by Hawaii Engineering Group.
- As the internal tower and access walkway was inspected by Hawaii Engineering Group, EEI is excluding review and comment of their findings and recommendations from our review of Tank 2 inspection.
- 2. Internal Coating: Evaluate the Internal Coating by a certified NACE inspector.

EEI Comments:

- It is EEI's understanding that NFESC had a coating inspector inspect the interior coating; another inspection by a NACE coating inspector provided by Shaw is not be necessary.
- As EEI has not received a copy of the NFESC coating inspection report, we can not make any comment regarding inspecting or repairing the interior coating.
- During EEI's site visit to Tank 2 on June 17, 2008, EEI noted that the coating in the lower dome has failed. Coating failures are also present at spot locations on the barrel and to a lesser degree, on the upper dome.
- Based on discussions with Shaw, the government has decided to re-coat the lower dome.
- 3. Steel Liner Repairs: E&I's report recommends repair of flaws in the steel liner plates and welds based on findings provided by TesTex.

EEI Comments:

- No exception taken to the list of liner repairs identified in E&I's report.
- TesTex NDE data lists a 31" long x 18" high bulge in the barrel (flaw #44). The remaining wall thickness is reported as 0.230". This bulge is relatively small and does not require repair.
- Repair the flaws listed in E&I's report. See the discussion that follows for EEI recommendations on repair requirements.
- Before performing any repairs of the steel liner, EEI recommends a Marine Chemist evaluate conditions at the area of repair for hot work and prepare hot work

requirements. Past history on Red Hill has found some areas safe for hot work and some areas not safe unless special purging requirements are followed. EEI recommends the Marine Chemist prepare a series of procedures for conditions found.

Steel Liner Repair Requirements

- 1. Cracks in Welds
 - Remove cracks by grinding.
 - Perform magnetic particle or ultrasonic inspection to verify that the cracks have been removed.
 - Provide welds passes to restore the full thickness of the weld. Control heat input and rate of cooling to prevent new cracks from forming.
 - Perform magnetic particle or ultrasonic inspection of the completed repair. Inspect for cracks, lack of fusion, porosity, and slag inclusions. Repair all rejectable defects found.
 - NOTE: It may be necessary to weld a doubler plate over the repaired welds to reinforce the joint.
- 2. Incomplete Penetration in Welds
 - Remove lack of fusion by grinding.
 - Perform magnetic particle or ultrasonic inspection to verify that the lack of fusion has been removed.
 - Provide welds passes to restore the full thickness of the weld.
 - Perform magnetic particle or ultrasonic inspection of the completed repair. Inspect for cracks, lack of fusion, porosity, and slag inclusions. Repair all rejectable defects found.
- 3. Porosity in Welds
 - Remove porosity by grinding.
 - Perform magnetic particle or ultrasonic inspection to verify that the porosity has been removed.
 - Provide welds passes to restore the full thickness of the weld.
 - Perform magnetic particle or ultrasonic inspection of the completed repair. Inspect for cracks, lack of fusion, porosity, and slag inclusions. Repair all rejectable defects found.
- 4. Slag Inclusions
 - Remove slag inclusions by grinding.
 - Perform magnetic particle or ultrasonic inspection to verify that the slag inclusions have been removed.
 - Provide welds passes to restore the full thickness of the weld.
 - Perform magnetic particle or ultrasonic inspection of the completed repair. Inspect for cracks, lack of fusion, porosity, and slag inclusions. Repair all rejectable defects found.

- 5. Arc Strikes
 - Remove arc strikes by grinding.
 - Perform magnetic particle inspection to verify that the arc strike has been removed and that there are no cracks in the base metal.
 - Repair areas having a remaining metal thickness less than 0.200" by welding to restore the thickness of the liner plate.
 - Perform magnetic particle or ultrasonic inspection of the weld repair. Inspect for cracks, lack of fusion, porosity, and slag inclusions. Repair all rejectable defects found.
- 6. Backside Corrosion
 - Repair by welding a 1/4" thick patch plate over the area.
 - Perform magnetic particle inspection and vacuum box testing of the patch plate weld. Inspect for cracks, lack, of fusion, porosity, and leak indications. Repair all rejectable defects found.
- 7. Through Wall Hole
 - Repair by welding a 1/4" thick patch plate over the area.
 - Perform magnetic particle inspection and vacuum box testing of the patch plate weld. Inspect for cracks, lack, of fusion, porosity, and leak indications. Repair all rejectable defects found.

EEI Added Mandatory Repairs

- 1. Repair Topside Pitting in Floor of Lower Dome
 - TesTex's NDE report lists two locations of topside pitting in the floor of the lower dome (Flaws #82 and #83). The pitting is located in the 1/2" thick floor plate adjacent to the welded joint that joins the bottom course of the lower dome to the floor plate. As the pitting is close to the weld, EEI recommends the pitted areas be repaired by welding to fill the pits.
- 2. Repair Bulges in Lower Dome
 - TesTex's NDE report identifies a large bulge in plates 1 and 2 of the lower dome. EEI performed spot hammer testing of the bulges on June 17, 2008 and detected voids below the bulges.
 - EEI recommends voids behind the bulges be filled with grout.
- 3. Repair cracked welds of the sampling line penetrations in the welded plate on the end of the casing in the lower tunnel.
 - Lightly grind the welds to remove the cracks.

- Perform magnetic particle (MT) or liquid penetrant (PT) inspection of the areas to verify that the cracks have been removed.
- Repair the areas by welding additional passes.
- Perform magnetic particle (MT) or liquid penetrant (PT) inspection of the weld repairs. Inspect for cracks, lack of fusion, porosity, and other detectable leak paths.

Near Future Recommended Repairs (Non-Mandatory)

Near future recommended repairs are repairs not mandatory per API 653 or for structural and hydraulic integrity of the tank but should be performed if approved by the government in conjunction with the mandatory repairs, or within a 2-3 year period to preserve the integrity of the tank.

1. The E&I report does not list any recommended near future repairs.

EEI Comment: No exception taken.

Recommended Repairs for Long Term Serviceability

Long term repairs are repairs that are not critical to the hydraulic and structural integrity of the tank and are not required prior to placing Tank 2 in service. Long term repairs consist of items that should be deferred to the next out of service inspection cycle.

1. Program the tank for the next out-of-service inspection in 20 years (April 2028).

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Inspection of Steel Liner Plates

Per EEI discussions with TesTex not all areas of the steel liner plates could be inspected by L.F.E.T. (Low Frequency Electromagnetic Technique). TesTex reported that courses D, E, and F of the upper dome were not tested with L.F.E.T. due to accessibility issues. Per TesTex, courses D and E were 100% inspected using a specially designed UT shoe which traversed the entire surface of the plates. Course F is the top of the upper dome and is located directly above the central tower. Ultrasonic testing was performed on course F as far as could be reached from the penthouse platform.

EEI Comment: No exception taken to the extent of inspection performed.

Inspection of Steel Liner Welds

Per EEI discussions with TesTex the welds in the floor, lower dome, and barrel were inspected using Balanced Field Electromagnetic Technique (B.F.E.T.). TesTex's NDE report lists several locations of intermittent cracks in the welds of the lower dome. Per EEI discussion with TesTex, the cracks appear to be from a combination of overstressing and corrosion, since they originate in the center of the welds. E&I has recommended that the cracks be repaired. **EEI Comments:**

- Cracks in welded joints are a concern as they are located on the in the lower dome which is subject to high fluid pressure.
- EEI concurs that the cracked welds be repaired. The method of repairing the cracks must be carefully considered to prevent new cracks from forming. Refer discussion on Steel Liner Repair Requirements for EEI recommendations on repairing cracked welds.

Existing Lap Welded Patch Plates

E&I's report states there are numerous lap-welded patch plates throughout the entire tank and that patch plates were noted that do not have radius corners and are smaller than the 6-inch minimum size required by API 653. Per EEI discussions with TesTex, UT readings were taken on all patch plates. Additionally TesTex reported that all patch plate welds were inspected by L.F.E.T.

EEI Comments:

- No exception taken to the extent of inspection performed.
- As TesTex did not report any flaws in the patch plates welds, no repairs are required.
- Patch plates having non-radius corners and patch plates smaller than 6-inch minimum size required by API 653 do not need to be replaced with new patch plates.

Channels in the Upper Dome

The upper dome has channels covering the original welds. TesTex's NDE report stated that spot ultrasonic testing was performed at 6" intervals on the channels; the welds attaching the channels to the upper dome, however, were not inspected. As the welds attaching the channels to the dome are a potential leak path, EEI recommended these welds be inspected for detectable leak paths (i.e. cracks, lack of fusion, and porosity). See "Addendum to TesTex Inspection" for additional discussion.

Barrel to Upper Dome Junction

EEI observed the condition of the barrel to upper dome joint during a site visit on June 17, 2008. The joint is an expansion joint as shown on record drawings of the Red Hill tanks. The expansion joint consists of two 1/4" thick horizontal plates (one on top of the other) that project into the tank. The top plate is welded to the upper dome and lower plate is welded to the barrel. The plates are welded to each other with plug welds at 24" on center and a fillet weld along the inner edge to form a hinge. EEI's observations found no indication of distortion, overstress, or movement.

Per EEI discussions with TesTex, the weld attaching the top plate to the upper dome and the weld attaching the lower plate to the barrel was inspected with B.F.E.T. The plates, plug welds, and fillet weld along the edge of the plates were not inspected. As the expansion joint plates, plug welds, and fillet weld are a potential leak path, EEI recommended the plates of the expansion joint including the plug welds joining the plates and fillet weld along the edge (hinge

side) of the joint be inspected as these areas are a potential leak path. EEI recommended the plates be inspected for metal loss and areas having a remaining thickness less than 0.170" be repaired. EEI also recommended the plug welds and the fillet welds be inspected for cracks, lack of fusion, and porosity. See "Addendum to TesTex Inspection for additional discussion.

Addendum to TesTex Inspection

An addendum dated September 24, 2008 to TesTex's inspection states that inspection of Tank 2 resumed on September 8, 2008 to inspect the welds of the channels in the upper dome, the barrel / upper dome expansion joint, and the plates in the top course (course F) of the upper dome. The addendum states all of the channels in the upper dome and welds of the barrel / upper dome were inspected. TesTex reported the following findings:

- No reportable defects were found in the channel welds.
- No reportable defects were found in the welds of the barrel / upper dome expansion joint.
- Two defects in Upper Dome Course F: A 0.500" diameter through wall hole in plate 12 (32" from the manhole) and a dent in plate 21 (5 feet from the manhole).

EEI Comments and Recommendations

- Channels in the Upper Dome: As the inspection found no reportable defects in the welds, no repairs are required.
- Barrel / Upper Dome Expansion Joint: As the inspection found no reportable defects in the welds, no repairs are required. TesTex's addendum, however, makes no mention of the condition of the plates of the expansion joint. EEI's visual observation of the upper and lower plates of the expansion joint on June 17, 2008 found no indication of distortion, overstress, or movement.
- Upper Dome Course F: Repair the hole in upper dome plate 12 by welding a patch plate over the hole. Refer to "Steel Liner Repair Requirements" item 7 for requirements for repair of through wall holes. The dent in upper dome plate 21 does not need to be repaired.

Barrel to Lower Dome Junction

Per EEl discussions with TesTex, the welds of the joint were inspected with B.F.E.T.

EEI Comments:

- No exceptions taken.
- EEI observed the condition of the barrel to lower dome joint during a site visit on June 17, 2008. The joint consists of a 1/2" thick horizontal plate between the barrel and lower dome as shown on record drawings of the Red Hill tanks. EEI's observations found no indication of distortion, overstress, or movement.

Existing Grout Ports

Per EEI discussions with TesTex all grout ports were previously removed from Tank 2 and the openings in the liner have been closed with cover plates. TesTex reported that all cover plates were inspected by UT.

EEI Comment: No exceptions taken.

Piping Penetrations in Liner Plates

Per EEI discussions with TesTex, the welds in the floor of the lower dome around piping penetrations and reinforcing plate welds were tested by B.F.E.T.

EEI Comment: No exceptions taken.

Interior Coatings

Coating repairs and coating repair systems are being address by others.

Hydrostatic Testing of Tank 2 Piping

Information provided by Shaw (and included in E&I's inspection report) indicate the following piping was hydrotested: sampling lines (4 total), 6" slop line, 16" fuel line, and 20" fuel line (32" inside the tank). The hydrotest records state the piping was hydrotested at 150 psig for 4 hours and observed for leaks. The hydrotest records indicate that the 6" slop line failed the hydrotest; the sampling lines, 16" fuel pipe and 20" fuel pipe passed hydrostatic testing. Per discussions with Shaw, the 6" slop line will be repaired using a repair that was approved by NAVFAC for other Red Hill tanks by inserting a stainless steel flexible braided hose into the slop line.

The four sampling lines enter the tank through an old steam line (referred to as "casing" in the hydrotest record). The casing is located in the lower tunnel and extends in into the tank. The end of the casing in the lower tunnel is sealed with a blind flange. A plate is welded to the blind flange and the sampling lines enter the casing through the welded plate and blind flange. Hydrotest records indicate the casing was hydrotested and passed the hydrotest. The hydrotest record also indicates "slight" cracks were detected in the welds of the sampling line penetrations in the welded plate on the blind flange at the end of the casing in the lower tunnel.

EEI Comments:

- Shaw reported that the hydrotests were conducted in accordance Shaw's Work Plan, performed by Dunkin & Bush, and certified and monitored under NFESC, FISC, and Shaw. E&I was not present during the hydrotest procedure.
- EEI's review of the hydrotest records of the sample line found the pressure dropped 14 psi in the 200 ft long sample line, 10 psi in the 70 ft long sample line, and 3.5 psi in the 10 ft sample line. The hydrotest records indicate the drop in pressure was due to a leak through a ball valve at the sample stations and that the cause of the pressure drop was confirmed by manually releasing test water from the sample lines and measuring the amount of liquid captured and pressure drop. EEI has no exceptions taken to the results of the hydrotest.
- EEI recommends the cracked welds of the sampling line penetrations in the welded plate of the casing be repaired.

SUITABILITY FOR SERVICE EVALUATION

Hydraulic and Structural Integrity

The following table provides an assessment of the hydraulic and structural integrity of Tank 2 based on the E&I inspection data provided to EEI for review and assessment.

HYDRAULIC AND STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY			
Evaluation Item	Findings	Comment	
Lower Dome	Intermittent cracks are present in the lower dome welds. The cracks are predominantly located in the weld junction between the plates of the lower dome and the floor plate.	Repair the cracked welds in lower dome. Repair flaws in the lower dome listed in E&I's inspection report.	
	E&I's inspection report also lists other flaws in the lower dome that require repair.	Repair bulges in plates 1 and 2 of the lower dome.	
Barrel/Lower Dome Junction	TesTex's inspection found no defects in the welds. EEI's observations found no indication of distortion, overstress, or movement.	No concerns noted.	
Barrel	E&I's inspection report lists flaws in the barrel that require repair.	Repair flaws in the barrel listed in E&I's inspection report.	
Barrel/Upper Dome Junction	The barrel to upper dome joint is an expansion joint as shown on record drawings of the Red Hill tanks. EEI's observations found no indication of distortion, overstress, or movement. Inspection of the plug welds and fillet welds of the expansion joint found no reportable defects.	No concerns noted	
Upper Dome	The upper dome has channels covering the original welds. Inspection of the welds attaching the channels to the dome found no reportable defects.	Repair the hole in plate 12.	
	Inspection of course F found a through-hole in plate 12 and a dent in plate 21.		
Hydrostatic Test of Piping	Hydrotest records indicate that the 6" slop line failed the hydrotest; the sampling lines, 16" fuel pipe, 20" fuel pipe, and casing containing the sample lines passed hydrostatic testing.	No exceptions taken to the results of the hydrotests. Provide repair of the 6" slop line.	
	Hydrotest records indicates "slight" cracks were detected in the welds of the sampling line penetrations in the welded plate on the blind flange at the end of the casing in the lower tunnel.	Repair cracked welds of the sampling line penetrations in the welded plate of the casing.	

STEEL LINER PLATE MINIMUM THICKNESS ASSESSMENT

EEI performed a calculation of corrosion rate and the minimum required thickness of the 1/4" thick steel liner plates. This minimum thickness served as the criteria for determining the need to repair thin areas and pits in the steel liner plates for another 20-year interval until the next inspection.

EEI recommended a Tmin = 0.170" be used as the criteria for determining whether thin and pitted areas in the 1/4-inch thick steel liner plates in the upper dome, barrel, and lower dome require repair. This recommendation was reviewed and accepted by the government. The calculation of corrosion rate and Tmin = 0.170" is based on the following:

- Year Tank Constructed: 1942
- Original Thickness of Liner Plates: 0.250"
- Age of tank in 2028 = 2028 1942 = 86 years
- Remaining Thickness at the Next Inspection: 0.10" based on the tank having no means to contain a leak
- Interval until the Next Inspection: 20 years maximum

Corrosion Rate and Minimum Thickness Discussion

It is not possible calculate an actual corrosion rate for the Red Hill Tanks because the time interval during which corrosion occurred is unknown and can not be determined. Depending on the time interval that is assumed, the corrosion rate can be higher or lower compared to the actual corrosion rate. It is possible that conditions causing external corrosion can change over time. Additionally, the rock stratum surrounding the Red Hill tanks varies in type and porosity, thus the water content and corrosivity of the rock can vary from one location to another. Because of these highly variable conditions, selecting areas of the steel liner and measuring the remaining thickness to determine actual corrosion rates would not necessarily be representative of external corrosion conditions throughout the tank. It is possible that more severe corrosion could exist at areas that are not measured.

For a 20-year service interval starting in 2008, the next inspection would be in 2028. Using the API 653 straight-line method of calculating corrosion rates and a 0.10" remaining thickness at the next inspection in 2028, the external corrosion rate is calculated as follows:

Maximum permissible metal loss = $0.250^{\circ} - 0.10^{\circ} = 0.150^{\circ}$ Age of tank in 2028 = 2028 - 1942 = 86 years

Considering the 0.150" of metal loss occurs over the life of the tank, the hypothetical external corrosion rate is: 0.150" / 86 years = 0.001744 in / year

EEI's calculation of the external corrosion rate follows the procedure outlined in API 653 section. 4.4.5, which assumes a linear (i.e. constant) corrosion rate based on the age of the tank. The external corrosion rate was calculated based on the age of the tank in 20-years (i.e. 86 years old in 2028). EEI acknowledges that this calculated corrosion rate is not based on thickness data of the steel liner plates; however as stated above, selecting areas of the steel liner and measuring the remaining thickness to determine actual corrosion rates would not necessarily be representative of external corrosion conditions throughout the tank.

Following the guidance of API 570 which uses 2 times the corrosion rate to determine the interval until the next inspection, 2 times the corrosion rate results in a Tmin = 0.170 inches as follows:

Two times corrosion rate = (2) (0.001744 in / yr) = 0.003488 in /yr

A two times the corrosion rate, the metal loss that is expected to occur during the next 20 years = (0.003488 in / year) (20 years) = 0.070"

The minimum thickness required in 2008 to have 0.1" remaining thickness in 2028 at twice the corrosion rate of 0.001744 in / yr is:

Tmin = 0.070" + 0.100" = 0.170"

CONCLUSIONS

Based upon our evaluation of the inspection results presented by E&I and inspection addendum provided by TesTex, Tank 2 is considered suitable for service after mandatory repairs are completed.