Final Fourth Quarter 2013 - Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report Outside Tunnel Wells

Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Oahu, Hawaii

DOH Facility ID: 9-102271 DOH Release ID: 990051, 010011, and 020028

January 2014

Department of the Navy Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Hawaii 400 Marshall Road JBPHH, HI 96860-3139



Contract Number N62742-12-D-1853, CTO 0002

Final Fourth Quarter 2013 - Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report Outside Tunnel Wells

Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Oahu, Hawaii

DOH Facility ID: 9-102271 DOH Release ID: 990051, 010011, and 020028

January 2014

Prepared for:



Department of the Navy Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Hawaii 400 Marshall Road JBPHH, HI 96860-3139

Prepared by:

Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Kailua, HI 96734

Prepared under:

Contract Number N62742-12-D-1853, CTO 0002

FINAL FOURTH QUARTER 2013 - QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT OUTSIDE TUNNEL WELLS RED HILL BULK FUEL STORAGE FACILITY

Long-Term Groundwater and Soil Vapor Monitoring Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility Joint-Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Oahu, Hawaii

Prepared for:

Department of the Navy Commanding Officer, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, Hawaii 400 Marshall Road JBPHH, HI 96860-3139

> Prepared by: Environmental Science International, Inc. 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Kailua, HI 96734 (808) 261-0740

Prepared under: Contract Number: N62742-12-D-1853 Contract Task Order: 0002

Approval Signature:

Approval Signature:

Darren Uchima, Navy Technical Representative Date

Robert Chong, ESI Project Manager

<u>12/27/2013</u> Date

Tio 100 de la A

Approval Signature:

Iris van der Zander, ESI QA Manager

<u>12/27/2013</u> Date

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>Section</u> | Title | Page |
|----------------|---|------|
| ES | EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | ES-1 |
| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION | 1-1 |
| 1.1 | Site Description | 1-1 |
| 1.2 | Physical Settings | 1-2 |
| 1.3 | Background | 1-3 |
| 2.0 | GROUNDWATER SAMPLING | 2-1 |
| 2.1 | Groundwater Sampling | 2-1 |
| 2.2 | Analytical Results | 2-1 |
| 2.3 | Waste Disposal | 2-2 |
| 3.0 | DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT | 3-1 |
| 3.1 | Data Validation and Assessment | 3-1 |
| 3.2 | Data Assessment and Usability Conclusions | 3-4 |
| 4.0 | SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 4-1 |
| 5.0 | FUTURE WORK | 5-1 |
| 6.0 | REFERENCES | 6-1 |

LIST OF TABLES

| <u>Number</u> | Title | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------|---|-------------|
| 1.1 | Current Status of USTs | 1-2 |
| 2.1 | Analytical Results for Groundwater Sampling (October 23, 2013) | 2-3 |
| 3.1 | Quality Control Results for Groundwater Sampling (October 23, 2013) | 3-5 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| <u>Number</u> | <u>Title</u> |
|---------------|---------------|
| 1 | Site Location |
| 2 | Site Lavout |

APPENDICES

| <u>Appendix</u> | <u>Title</u> | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| | | |

- Groundwater Sampling Logs А
- **Field Notes** В
- Laboratory Reports С
- Historical Groundwater Exceedance Trends D
- Е Waste Disposal Manifest

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

| ACRONYMS/ ABBREVIATIONS | DEFINITION/MEANING |
|----------------------------|--|
| 0/ | percept |
| | Contaminant of Potential Concorn |
| | State of Howaii Department of Land and Natural Department |
| | State of Howeii Department of Health |
| | State of Hawaii Department of Health |
| | Department of the Navy |
| | Environmental Action Level |
| | Environmental Protection Agency |
| | Environmental Science International |
| | |
| UI עוםסו | Identification |
| | Joint Dase Pedri Harbor-Hickani |
| | Jet Fuel Propellant 9 |
| | Jel Fuel Flupellani-o |
| | Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate |
| | |
| | Limit of Quantitation |
| | |
| µg/∟ MS | Matrix Snike |
| MSD | Matrix Spike Dunlicate |
| | Naval Eacilities Engineering Command |
| | Naval Supply Systems Command Elect Logistics Center |
| | Not Detected |
| ΡΔΗ | Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons |
| PARCOS | Precision Accuracy Representativeness Completeness Comparability |
| 17410000 | and Sensitivity |
| рH | hydrogen activity |
| QC | Quality Control |
| RHSF | Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility |
| RPD | Relative Percent Difference |
| SAP | Sampling and Analysis Plan |
| TEC | The Environmental Company, Inc. |
| TPH-d | Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as diesel |
| TPH-q | Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as gasoline |
| U.S. | United States of America |
| UST | Underground Storage Tank |
| VOC | Volatile Organic Compounds |
| WP | Work Plan |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This quarterly monitoring report presents the results of the fourth quarter 2013 groundwater sampling event conducted on October 23, 2013, at the outside tunnel wells of the Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility [RHSF], Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam [JBPHH], Hawaii. The RHSF is located in Halawa Heights on the Island of Oahu. There are 18 active and 2 inactive underground storage tanks [USTs] located at the RHSF. The State of Hawaii Department of Health [DOH] Facility Identification [ID] number is 9-102271. The DOH Release ID numbers are 990051, 010011, and 020028.

The groundwater sampling was conducted as part of the long-term groundwater and soil vapor monitoring at the RHSF, under Naval Facilities Engineering Command [NAVFAC] Contract Number N62742-12-D-1853. The sampling was conducted in accordance with the approved Work Plan [WP]/Sampling and Analysis Plan [SAP] prepared by Environmental Science International [ESI].

On October 23, 2013, ESI personnel collected groundwater samples from two outside tunnel monitoring wells (wells HDMW2253-03 and OWDFMW01). A summary of the analytical results is provided below.

- HDMW2253-03 TPH-g (15 μg/L) and naphthalene (0.041 μg/L) were detected. The COPCs were not detected at concentrations above the DOH EALs.
- OWDFMW01 TPH-d (170 and 200 μg/L), TPH-g (17 and 14 μg/L), and acetone (44 and 38 μg/L) were detected in both the primary and duplicate samples. Benzene (0.17 μg/L) was only detected in the duplicate sample. TPH-d (170 and 200 μg/L) was detected at concentrations above the DOH EALs for both drinking water toxicity and gross contamination in the duplicate sample and for only gross contamination in the primary sample.

TPH-g was detected in the method and trip blanks at concentrations of 21 and 24 μ g/L, respectively. Because of this, it is likely that the TPH-g concentrations detected in the groundwater samples are all biased high.

TPH-d was not detected in well HDMW2253-03 during this round of quarterly sampling. TPH-d concentrations last exceeded the DOH EALs for both drinking water toxicity and gross contamination in January 2013 (600 μ g/L). Naphthalene was detected but remained at a low concentration, below the DOH EALs and consistent with historical results.

With the exception of TPH-d in well OWDFMW01, groundwater contaminant concentrations remained at low concentrations and did not change significantly, or were not detected. TPH-d concentrations detected during this round of sampling were above the DOH EALs for gross contamination (the TPH-d concentration in the duplicate sample was also above the drinking

water EAL), but decreased significantly from the last event, and were the lowest they have been since July 2012.

Based on the results of the assessment, continued groundwater monitoring at the RHSF is recommended. If the TPH-d concentrations significantly increase, the monitoring frequency should be increased to monthly, even though the two outside wells are not included in the RHSF Groundwater Protection Plan.

SECTION 1 – INTRODUCTION

This quarterly monitoring report presents the results of the fourth quarter 2013 groundwater sampling event conducted on October 23, 2013, at the outside tunnel wells of the RHSF, JBPHH, Hawaii. The RHSF is located in Halawa Heights on the Island of Oahu. The purpose of the sampling is to (1) assess the condition of groundwater beneath and in the vicinity of the RHSF with respect to chemical constituents associated with jet fuel propellant and marine diesel fuel, and (2) to ensure the Navy remains in compliance with DOH UST release response requirements as described in Hawaii Administrative Rules 11-281 Subchapter 7, Release Response Action (DOH, 2000). The DOH Facility ID number for the RHSF is 9-102271. The DOH Release ID numbers are 990051, 010011, and 020028.

The groundwater sampling was conducted as part of the long-term groundwater and soil vapor monitoring at the RHSF, under NAVFAC Contract Number N62742-12-D-1853. The sampling was conducted in accordance with the approved WP/SAP prepared by ESI (ESI, 2012).

1.1 SITE DESCRIPTION

The RHSF is located on federal government land (zoned F1- Military and Federal), located in Halawa Heights, approximately 2.5 miles northeast of Pearl Harbor (Figure 1). It is located on a low ridge on the western edge of the Koolau Mountain Range that divides Halawa Valley from Moanalua Valley. The RHSF is bordered on the north by Halawa Correctional Facility and private businesses, on the west by the United States of America [U.S.] Coast Guard reservation, on the south by residential neighborhoods, and on the east by Moanalua Valley. A quarry is located less than a quarter mile away to the northwest. The RHSF occupies 144 acres of land and the majority of the site is at an elevation of approximately 200 to 500 feet above mean sea level.

The RHSF contains 18 active and 2 inactive USTs, which are operated by Naval Supply Systems Command Fleet Logistics Center [NAVSUP FLC] Pearl Harbor (formerly Fleet and Industrial Supply Center). Each UST has a capacity of approximately 12.5 million gallons. The RHSF is located approximately 100 feet above the basal aquifer. The USTs contain Jet Fuel Propellant-5 [JP-5], Jet Fuel Propellant-8 [JP-8], and Marine Diesel Fuel [F-76]. The current status of each of the USTs is summarized in Table 1.1.

Two groundwater monitoring wells (well HDMW2253-03 and OWDFMW01) are located outside of the RHSF tunnel system (Figure 2). Well HDMW2253-03 is located at the Halawa Correctional Facility (outside the RHSF) and well OWDFMW01 is located at the Oily Waste Disposal Facility near Adit 3. Five groundwater monitoring wells (wells RHMW01, RHMW02, RHMW03, RHMW05, and RHMW2254-01) are located within the RHSF lower access tunnel. Monitoring data for the five wells located inside the tunnel are included in a separate report.

Monitoring wells RHMW01, RHMW02, RHMW03, and RHMW05 are located inside the underground tunnels. Monitoring well RHMW2254-01 is located inside the infiltration gallery of

the Department of the Navy [DON] Well 2254-01. DON Well 2254-01 is located approximately 2,400 feet downgradient of the USTs and provides approximately 24 percent [%] of the potable water to the Pearl Harbor System, which serves approximately 52,200 military customers. NAVFAC Public Works Department operates the infiltration gallery and DON Well 2254-01.

| April 2013 Quarterly Monitoring Report | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Tank Identification | Fuel Type | Status | Capacity | | | | | | | | |
| F-1 | None | Inactive | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |
| F-2 | JP-8 | Active | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |
| F-3 | JP-8 | Active | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |
| F-4 | JP-8 | Active | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |
| F-5 | JP-8 | Active | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |
| F-6 | JP-8 | Active | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |
| F-7 | JP-5 | Active | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |
| F-8 | JP-5 | Active | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |
| F-9 | JP-5 | Active | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |
| F-10 | JP-5 | Active | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |
| F-11 | JP-5 | Active | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |
| F-12 | JP-5 | Active | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |
| F-13 | F-76 | Active | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |
| F-14 | F-76 | Active | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |
| F-15 | F-76 | Active | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |
| F-16 | F-76 | Active | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |
| F-17 | JP-5 | Active | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |
| F-18 | JP-5 | Active | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |
| F-19 | None | Inactive | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |
| F-20 | JP-5 | Active | 12.5 million gallons | | | | | | | | |

TABLE 1.1 Current Status of the USTs Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility April 2013 Quarterly Monitoring Report

F-76 Marine Diesel Fuel

JP-5 Jet Fuel Propellant-5

JP-8 Jet Fuel Propellant-8

1.2 PHYSICAL SETTINGS

Climatological conditions in the area of the RHSF consist of warm to moderate temperatures and low to moderate rainfall. The RHSF is leeward of the prevailing northeasterly trade winds. The average annual precipitation is approximately 40 inches, which occurs mainly between November and April (State of Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources [DLNR], 1986). Annual pan evaporation is approximately 75 inches (DLNR, 1985). Average temperatures range from the low 60's to high 80's (degrees Fahrenheit) (Atlas of Hawaii, 1983).

Oahu consists of the eroded remnants of two shield volcanoes, Waianae and Koolau. The RHSF is located on the southwest flank of the Koolau volcanic shield. Lavas erupted during the shield-building phase of the volcano belong to the *Koolau Volcanic Series* (Stearns and Vaksvik, 1935). Following formation of the Koolau shield, a long period of volcanic quiescence occurred, during which the shield was deeply eroded. Following this erosional period, eruptive activity resumed. Lavas and pyroclastic material erupted during this period belong to the *Honolulu Volcanic Series* (Stearns and Vaksvik, 1935).

In the immediate area of the RHSF, Koolau Volcanic Series lavas dominate, although there are consolidated and unconsolidated non-calcareous deposits in the vicinity that consist of alluvium generated during erosion of the Koolau volcanic shield. South-southwest of the Site, and in isolated exposures to the west, are pyroclastic deposits formed during eruptions from three Honolulu Volcanic Series vents, Salt Lake, Aliamanu, and Makalapa (Stearns and Vaksvik, 1935). Based on established geology and records of the drilled wells (Stearns and Vaksvik, 1938), the RHSF is underlain by Koolau Volcanic Series basalts. The area of the RHSF is classified as *Rock Land*, where 25 to 90% of the land surface is covered by exposed rock and there are only shallow soils (Foote, et al., 1972).

Groundwater in Hawaii exists in two principal types of aquifers. The first and most important type, in terms of drinking water resources, is the basal aquifer. The basal aquifer exists as a lens of fresh water floating on and displacing seawater within the pore spaces, fractures, and voids of the basalt that forms the underlying mass of each Hawaiian island. In parts of Oahu, groundwater in the basal aquifer is confined by the overlying caprock and is under pressure. Waters that flow freely to the surface from wells that tap the basal aquifer are referred to as *artesian*.

The second type of aquifer is the caprock aquifer, which consists of various kinds of unconfined and semi-confined groundwater. Commonly, the caprock consists of a thick sequence of nearly impermeable clays, coral, and basalt, which separates the caprock aquifer from the basal aquifer. The impermeable nature of these materials and the artesian nature of the basal aquifer severely restrict the downward migration of groundwater from the upper caprock aquifer. In the area of the RHSF, there is no discernible caprock.

Groundwater in the area of the RHSF is part of the *Waimalu Aquifer System* of the *Pearl Harbor Aquifer Sector*. The aquifer is classified as a basal, unconfined, flank-type; and is currently used as a drinking water source. The aquifer is considered fresh with less than 250 milligrams per liter of chloride and is considered an irreplaceable resource with a high vulnerability to contamination (Mink and Lau, 1990).

The nearest drinking water supply well is the DON Well 2254-01, located in the infiltration gallery within the RHSF. DON Well 2254-01 is located approximately 2,400 feet downgradient of the USTs (Figure 2).

1.3 BACKGROUND

The RHSF was constructed by the U.S. Government in the early 1940s. Twenty USTs and a series of tunnels were constructed to supply fuel to the Navy. The USTs were constructed of steel and they currently contain JP-5, JP-8, and F-76. Several tanks in the past have stored DON special fuel oil, DON distillate, aviation gasoline, and motor gasoline (Environet, 2010). The fueling system is a self-contained underground unit that was installed into native rock comprised primarily of basalt with some interbedded tuffs and breccias (Environet, 2010). Each

UST measures approximately 245 feet in height and 100 feet in diameter. The upper domes of the tanks lie at depths varying between 100 feet and 200 feet below ground surface.

In response to increasing concentrations of COPCs in the groundwater monitoring wells within the facility (specifically RHMW02) during the 2008 sampling events, quarterly groundwater monitoring was initiated in 2009 at the outside tunnel wells.

In 2009, groundwater samples were collected from wells RHMW04, OWDFMW01, and HDMW2253-03. Samples were collected in August and October 2009. None of the COPCs were detected at concentrations exceeding the gross contamination or drinking water toxicity DOH EALs.

In 2010, groundwater samples were collected from wells RHMW04, OWDFMW01, and HDMW2253-03. Samples were collected from well RHMW04 in January and April 2010. Samples were collected from well OWDFMW01 in January, April, and October 2010. Samples were collected from well HDMW2253-03 in January, April, July and October 2010. The COPCs concentrations exceeding DOH EALs are summarized below.

- **HDMW2253-03** TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the gross contamination and drinking water toxicity DOH EAL in January 2010 (The Environmental Company, Inc. [TEC], 2010a).
- **OWDFMW01** TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the gross contamination and drinking water toxicity DOH EALs in January and April 2010 (TEC, 2010a; TEC, 2010b).

In 2011, groundwater samples were collected from wells OWDFMW01 and HDMW2253-03. Samples were collected in January, April, July, and October 2011. None of the COPCs were detected at concentrations exceeding the gross contamination or drinking water toxicity DOH EALs.

In 2012, groundwater samples were collected from wells OWDFMW01 and HDMW2253-03. Samples were collected in January, April, July, and November 2012. TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EALs in samples collected from wells HDMW2253-03 and OWDFMW01 (Environet, 2012; ESI, 2013a). The COPCs concentrations exceeding DOH EALs are summarized below.

- **HDMW2253-03** TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EALs for gross contamination and drinking water toxicity in April and November 2012.
- **OWDFMW01** TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EALs for gross contamination and drinking water toxicity in April 2012.

In January 2013, groundwater samples were collected from wells OWDFMW01 and HDMW2253-03 (ESI 2013b). TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EALs in samples collected from wells HDMW2253-03 and OWDFMW01. The COPCs concentrations exceeding DOH EALs are summarized below.

- **HDMW2253-03** TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EALs for gross contamination and drinking water toxicity in January 2013.
- **OWDFMW01** TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EALs for gross contamination and drinking water toxicity in January 2013.

In April 2013, groundwater samples were collected from wells OWDFMW01 and HDMW2253-03 (ESI 2013c). TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EALs in samples collected from well OWDFMW01. The COPC concentrations exceeding DOH EALs are summarized below.

• **OWDFMW01** – TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EALs for gross contamination and drinking water toxicity in April 2013.

In July 2013, groundwater samples were collected from wells OWDFMW01 and HDMW2253-03 (ESI 2013d). TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EALs in samples collected from well OWDFMW01. The COPC concentrations exceeding DOH EALs are summarized below.

 OWDFMW01 – TPH-d was detected at a concentration above the DOH EALs for gross contamination and drinking water toxicity in July 2013.

1.3.1 Previous Reports

The following groundwater monitoring reports were previously submitted to the DOH:

- 1. Groundwater Monitoring Report, August 2009 (submitted September 2009).
- 2. Groundwater Monitoring Report, October 2009 (submitted December 2009).
- 3. Groundwater Monitoring Report, January, 2010 (submitted April 2010).
- 4. Groundwater Monitoring Report, April 2010 (submitted May 2010).
- 5. Groundwater Monitoring Report, July 2010 (submitted August 2010).
- 6. Groundwater Monitoring Report, October 2010 (submitted December 2010).
- 7. Groundwater Monitoring Report, January 2011 (submitted March 2011).
- 8. Groundwater Monitoring Report, April 2011 (submitted June 2011).
- 9. Groundwater Monitoring Report, July 2011 (submitted September 2011).
- 10. Groundwater Monitoring Report, October 2011 (submitted December 2011).

- 11. Groundwater Monitoring Report, January 2012 (submitted March 2012).
- 12. Groundwater Monitoring Report, April 2012 (submitted July 2012).
- 13. Groundwater Monitoring Report, July 2012 (submitted August 2012).
- 14. Groundwater Monitoring Report, November 2012 (submitted January 2013).
- 15. Groundwater Monitoring Report, January 2013 (submitted April 2013).
- 16. Groundwater Monitoring Report, April 2013 (submitted July 2013).
- 17. Groundwater Monitoring Report, July 2013 (submitted September 2013).

SECTION 2 – GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

On October 23, 2013, ESI personnel collected groundwater samples from two monitoring wells (wells OWDFMW01 and HDMW2253-03). The samples were collected in accordance with DOH UST release response requirements and the RHSF Groundwater Protection Plan (TEC, 2008). Prior to purging and sampling, the depth to groundwater and the depth to the bottom of the wells were measured. Well OWDFMW01 was measured by ESI using a Geotech oil/water interface probe. Well HDMW2253-03 was measured by the DLNR using their Geotech oil/water interface probe. The measurements are included in the groundwater sampling logs. No measurable product, sheen, or petroleum hydrocarbon odor was observed in either well.

2.1 GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

Prior to collecting groundwater samples, disposable bailers were used to purge groundwater from the monitoring wells. Wells OWDFMW01 and HDMW2253-03 were purged at rates of 0.26 and 0.27 liters per minute, respectively.

Water quality parameters were monitored on a periodic basis during well purging. The water quality parameters that were measured included hydrogen activity [pH], temperature, conductivity, dissolved oxygen, and oxidation reduction potential. The water quality parameters were evaluated to demonstrate that the natural characteristics of the aquifer formation water were present within the monitoring well before collecting the sample. At least four readings were collected during the purging process. Purging was considered complete when at least three consecutive water quality measurements stabilized within approximately 10%. The readings were recorded on groundwater monitoring logs which are included in Appendix A. The field notes are included in Appendix B.

When the water quality parameters stabilized, groundwater samples were collected from the wells. The disposable bailers were used to collect the groundwater samples from the monitoring wells. For each monitoring well, the groundwater samples were collected no more than two hours after purging was completed to prevent groundwater interaction with the monitoring well casing and atmosphere. Samples collected for dissolved lead were filtered in the field using a peristaltic pump and a 0.45 micron filter.

2.2 ANALYTICAL RESULTS

The samples were analyzed for TPH-d using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [EPA] Method 8015M, Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as gasoline [TPH-g] and Volatile Organic Compounds [VOCs] using EPA Method 8260B, Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons [PAHs] using EPA Method 8270C SIM, and dissolved lead using EPA Method 6020. The analytical results are summarized below and in Table 2.1. A copy of the laboratory report is included in Appendix C.

 HDMW2253-03 – TPH-g (15 μg/L) and naphthalene (0.041 μg/L) were detected. The COPCs were not detected at concentrations above the DOH EALs. OWDFMW01 – TPH-d (170 and 200 μg/L), TPH-g (17 and 14 μg/L), and acetone (44 and 38 μg/L) were detected in both the primary and duplicate samples. Benzene (0.17 μg/L) was only detected in the duplicate sample. TPH-d (170 and 200 μg/L) was detected at concentrations above the DOH EALs for both drinking water toxicity and gross contamination in the duplicate sample and for only gross contamination in the primary sample.

TPH-g was detected in the method and trip blanks at concentrations of 21 and 24 μ g/L, respectively. Because of this, it is likely that the TPH-g concentrations detected in the groundwater samples are all biased high.

2.2.1 Groundwater Contaminant Trends

Historical groundwater contaminant concentration trends of COPCs that exceed the DOH EALs are presented in Appendix D. A summary of groundwater contaminant trends is provided below.

- HDMW2253-03 TPH-d was not detected during this round of quarterly sampling. TPH-d concentrations last exceeded the DOH EALs for both drinking water toxicity and gross contamination in January 2013 (600 µg/L). Naphthalene was detected but remained at a low concentration, below the DOH EALs and consistent with historical results.
- OWDFMW01 With the exception of TPH-d, groundwater contaminant concentrations remained at low concentrations and did not change significantly, or were not detected. TPH-d concentrations detected during this round of sampling were above the DOH EALs for gross contamination (the TPH-d concentration in the duplicate sample was also above the drinking water EAL), but decreased significantly from the last event, and were the lowest they have been since July 2012.

2.3 WASTE DISPOSAL

The purged groundwater and decontamination water generated during sampling of the wells was stored in a 55-gallon drum along with the purged water and decontamination water from the inside tunnel wells. The drum was stored onsite at ADIT 3. On December 11, 2013, the drum of water was picked up by Pacific Commercial Services, LLC and disposed at Unitek Solvent Services, Inc. The waste disposal manifest is included in Appendix E.

TABLE 2.1 Analytical Results for Groundwater Sampling (October 23, 2013) Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility October 2013 Quarterly Monitoring Report

| | | DOH EALs | | | OW | DFMW01 (ES | 043) | | | OWDF | MW01 (ES04 | 4) (Dup) | | HDMW2253-03 (ES045) | | | | |
|---------------|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|----------|------------|-------|--------|---------|--------|------------|----------|--------|---------------------|----------|-----|------|--------|
| Method | Chemical | Drinking Water Toxicity | Gross Contamination | Results | Q | LOQ | LOD | DL | Results | Q | LOQ | LOD | DL | Results | Q | LOQ | LOD | DL |
| EPA 8015B | TPH-d | 190 | 100 | 170 | HD | 50 | 20 | 15 | 200 | HD | 50 | 20 | 15 | N.D. | U | 50 | 20 | 15 |
| EPA 8260B | TPH-g | 100 | 100 | 17 | B,J | 50 | 30 | 13 | 14 | B,J | 50 | 30 | 13 | 15 | B,J | 50 | 30 | 13 |
| | Acenaphthene | 370 | 20 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.020 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.020 | N.D. | 0 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.021 |
| | Acenaphthylene | 240 | 2,000 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.018 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.018 | N.D. | 0 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.018 |
| | Anthracene | 1,800 | 22 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.034 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.033 | N.D. | 0 | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.034 |
| | Benzola bilbondono | 0.092 | 4./ | N.D. | 0 | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.023 | N.D. | 0 | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.023 | N.D. | <u> </u> | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.024 |
| | Benzolalovrene | 0.2 | 0.13 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.021 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.021 | N.D. | <u> </u> | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.022 |
| | Benzo[h]fluoranthene | 0.02 | 0.75 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.030 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.030 | N.D. | <u> </u> | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.025 |
| | Benzo[k]fluoranthene | 0.92 | 0.4 | N.D. | Ŭ | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.023 | N.D. | Ŭ | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.023 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.023 |
| | Chrysene | 9.2 | 1 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.019 | N.D. | Ŭ | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.019 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.019 |
| EPA 8270C SIM | Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | 0.0092 | 0.52 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.026 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.026 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.027 |
| | Fluoranthene | 1,500 | 130 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.027 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.027 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.027 |
| | Fluorene | 240 | 950 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.024 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.024 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.024 |
| | Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene | 0.092 | 0.095 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.022 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.022 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.022 |
| | 1,-Methylnaphthalene | 4.7 | 10 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.028 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.028 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.028 |
| | 2,-Methylnaphthalene | 24 | 10 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.026 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.026 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.026 |
| | Naphthalene | 17 | 21 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.023 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.023 | 0.041 | J | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.023 |
| | Phenanthrene | 240 | 410 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.030 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.030 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.031 |
| | Pyrene | 180 | 68 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.024 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.024 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.05 | 0.025 |
| | 1,1,1-I richloroethane | 200 | 970 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.30 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.30 | N.D. | 0 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.30 |
| | 1,1,2-Thchloroethane | 5 | 50,000 | N.D. | 0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.38 | N.D. | 0 | 1.0 E.O | 0.5 | 0.36 | N.D. | <u> </u> | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.38 |
| | | 2.4 | 1 500 | N.D. | 0 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.28 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.28 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.28 |
| | 1 2 3-Trichloropropage | 0.6 | 50,000 | N.D. | <u> </u> | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.43 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.43 | N.D. | <u> </u> | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.43 |
| | 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 70 | 3.000 | N.D. | Ŭ | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | N.D. | <u> </u> | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| | 1.2-Dibromo-3- chloropropane | 0.04 | 10 | N.D. | U | 10 | 2.0 | 1.2 | N.D. | U | 10 | 2.0 | 1.2 | N.D. | U | 10 | 2.0 | 1.2 |
| | 1,2-Dibromoethane | 0.04 | 50,000 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.36 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.36 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.36 |
| | 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 600 | 10 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.46 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.46 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.46 |
| | 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.15 | 7,000 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.24 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.24 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.24 |
| | 1,2-Dichloropropane | 5 | 10 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.42 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.42 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.42 |
| | 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 180 | 5 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| | 1,3-Dichloropropene (total of cis/trans) | 0.43 | 50,000 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.25 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.25 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.25 |
| | 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 75 | 5 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.43 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.43 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.43 |
| | Acetone | 22,000 | 20,000 | 44 | ICH | 20 | 10 | 6.0 | 38 | ICH | 20 | 10 | 6.0 | N.D. | ICH | 20 | 10 | 6.0 |
| | Benzene | 5 | 170 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.14 | 0.17 | J | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.14 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.14 |
| | Bromodicnioromethane | 0.12 | 50,000 | N.D. | 0 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.21 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.21 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.21 |
| | Bromomothana | 80 | 510 | N.D. | U | 10 | 1.0 | 0.50 | N.D. | U | 10 | 1.0 | 0.50 | N.D. | <u> </u> | 10 | 1.0 | 0.50 |
| | Biomomethane Carbon Tetrachloride | 6.7 | 50,000 | N.D. | 0 | 20 | 5.0 | 0.23 | N.D. | U | 20 | 5.0 | 0.23 | N.D. | <u> </u> | 20 | 5.0 | 3.9 |
| EPA 8260B | Chlorobenzene | 100 | 50 | N.D. | <u> </u> | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.23 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.23 | N.D. | <u> </u> | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.23 |
| LINGLOOD | Chloroethane | 21 000 | 16 | N.D. | U | 10 | 5.0 | 2.3 | N.D. | U | 10 | 5.0 | 2.3 | N.D. | <u> </u> | 10 | 5.0 | 2.3 |
| | Chloroform | 70 | 2.400 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.46 | N.D. | Ŭ | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.46 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.46 |
| | Chloromethane | 1.8 | 50,000 | N.D. | U, IJ | 10 | 2.0 | 1.8 | N.D. | U, IJ | 10 | 2.0 | 1.8 | N.D. | U, IJ | 10 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| | cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 70 | 50,000 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.48 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.48 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.48 |
| | Dibromochloromethane | 0.16 | 50,000 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.25 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.25 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.25 |
| | Ethylbenzene | 700 | 30 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.14 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.14 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.14 |
| | Hexachlorobutadiene | 0.86 | 6 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.32 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.32 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.32 |
| | Methyl ethyl ketone (2-Butanone) | 7,100 | 8,400 | N.D. | U, ICH | 10 | 5.0 | 2.2 | N.D. | U, ICH | 10 | 5.0 | 2.2 | N.D. | U, ICH | 10 | 5.0 | 2.2 |
| | Methyl isobutyl ketone (4-Methyl-2-Pentanone) | 2,000 | 1300 | N.D. | U | 10 | 5.0 | 4.4 | N.D. | U | 10 | 5.0 | 4.4 | N.D. | U | 10 | 5.0 | 4.4 |
| | Methyl tert-butyl Ether | 12 | 5 | N.D. | 0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.31 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.31 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.31 |
| | Stropo | 4.8 | 9,100 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.64 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.64 | N.D. | <u> </u> | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.64 |
| | Tetrachloroethane 1112- | 0.52 | 50,000 | N.D. | | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.17 | N.D. | 11 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.17 | N.D. | <u> </u> | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.17 |
| | Tetrachloroethane 1122- | 0.02 | 500 | N.D. | U.I | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.40 | ND. | 111 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.40 | ND. | <u> </u> | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.40 |
| | Tetrachloroethylene | 5 | 170 | N.D. | 11 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.39 | ND | 11 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.39 | ND | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.39 |
| | Toluene | 1.000 | 40 | N.D. | Ŭ | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.24 | N.D. | U U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.24 | N.D. | <u> </u> | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.24 |
| | trans-1,2- Dichloroethylene | 100 | 260 | N.D. | Ū | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.37 | N.D. | Ŭ | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.37 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.37 |
| | Trichloroethylene | 5 | 310 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.37 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.37 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.37 |
| | Vinyl chloride | 2 | 3,400 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.30 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.30 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.30 |
| | Xylenes | 10,000 | 20 | N.D. | U | 11 | 1.5 | 0.23 | N.D. | U | 11 | 1.5 | 0.23 | N.D. | U | 11 | 1.5 | 0.23 |
| EPA 6020 | Dissolved Lead | 15 | 50,000 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0898 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0898 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0898 |

The data are in micrograms per liter (µg/L). Shaded values exceeded the DOH EALs. B Analyte was present in the associated method blank. DOH EALS DOH Tier 1 Environmental Action Levels for groundwater where groundwater is a current drinking water source and surface water is greater than 150 meters from the site (DOH, Fall 2011). DL Detection Limit or Method Detection Limit (MDL) B DOH EALS DL EPA HD ICH IJ

Detection Limit of Metrica Detection Agency Environmental Protection Agency The chromatographic pattern was inconsistent with the profile of the reference fuel standard. Initial calibration verification recovery is above the control limit for this analyte. Calibration verification recovery is below the control limit for this analyte. Analyte was detected at a concentration below the LOQ and above the DL. Reported value is estimated.

LOD Limit of Detection

Red Hill LTM, 4Q2013 Status Report **Outside Tunnel Wells**

U

Limit of Quantitation Not Detected Qualifiers

LOQ N.D. Q TPH-g TPH-d

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as gasoline Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as diesel Undetected at DL and is reported as less than the LOD.

SECTION 3 – DATA QUALITY ASSESSMENT

A data quality assessment, which consists of a review of the overall groundwater sample collection and analysis process, was performed in order to determine whether the analytical data generated meet the quality objectives for the project. The field Quality Control [QC] program consisted of standardized sample collection and management procedures, and the collection of field duplicate samples, matrix spike samples, and trip blank samples. The laboratory quality assurance program consisted of the use of standard analytical methods and the preparation and analyses of Matrix Spike [MS]/Matrix Spike Duplicate [MSD] samples, surrogate spikes, blanks, Laboratory Control Samples [LCS] and Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate [LCSD].

3.1 Data Validation and Assessment

The objective of data validation is to provide data of known quality for project decisions. Data quality is judged in terms of Precision, Accuracy, Representativeness, Completeness, Comparability, and Sensitivity [PARCCS]. A number of factors may affect the quality of data, including: sample collection methods, sample analysis methods, and adherence to established procedures for sample collection, preservation, management, shipment, and analysis.

Precision

Precision is defined as the reproducibility of replicate measurements. Precision is evaluated by Relative Percentage Difference [RPD] of field duplicates and laboratory LCS/LCSD or MS/MSD results. Field duplicate and MS/MSD samples were collected at a rate of approximately 10% of project samples. Field duplicates were sent to the laboratory along with the primary samples.

The RPDs of detected analytes for the primary and field duplicate samples (ES043 and ES044) are provided in Table 3.1. A precision of less than 50% for duplicate pairs is required by the DoN Project Procedures Manual to be considered acceptable (DoN 2007). For this monitoring event, the RPDs for duplicate sample pairs were all within the acceptable range except for benzene, which was detected in duplicate sample ES044, but not in primary sample ES043. In sample ES044, benzene was detected at a concentration below the limit of detection [LOD] and approximately one order of magnitude below the DOH EALs. The result has been flagged "J" for estimated, indicating a reduction in precision that is to be expected with the result so close to the LOD. Therefore, this slight reduction in precision is unlikely to affect data usability, and the data precision is considered acceptable based on the sample duplicated evaluation.

The RPD of the MS/MSD results for acetone and 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane were above the acceptable maximum of 20%, but below 50%, an RPD recommended in the NAVFAC Project Procedures Manual [DON 2007]. 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane was not detected during this sampling event and when acetone was detected, it was at concentrations three orders of magnitude below the EALs. Therefore, this is unlikely to affect data usability.

Accuracy

Accuracy is defined as the degree of conformity of a measurement to a standard or true value. Accuracy is evaluated through measurement of the percent recovery of an analyte in a reference standard or spiked sample. Accuracy limits for surrogates, laboratory control spike, MS, and MSD samples are established by the individual laboratory. The acceptance criteria for accuracy are dependent on the analytical method and are based on historical laboratory data.

Results for TPH-d in samples ES043 and ES044 were flagged "HD." The laboratory indicated a mismatch between the calibration standard and the TPH-d chromatographic profile. Mismatches of this type are not uncommon. The chromatograms are not part of the standard laboratory package and were not reviewed by ESI.

All of the LCS and surrogate spike recoveries for analyzed constituents were within acceptable percent recovery limits. The MS and/or MSD recoveries were below the control limits for 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane and the associated sample results may be biased low. Sample results for 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane were flagged "UJ." 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane was not detected in any of the groundwater samples. However the drinking water EAL for 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane is below the respective LODs. Based on historical results and the results of other VOCs in the sample; it is not likely that 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane is present at concentrations above the DOH EALs.

The MS and/or MSD recoveries were above the control limits for acetone, tetrachloroethylene, and trichloroethylene, and the associated sample results may be biased high. None of the COPCs were detected at concentrations above the DOH EALs, so a potential high bias should not affect data usability.

All other MS/MSD recoveries were within acceptable recovery limits; therefore, the data accuracy for this monitoring event is considered acceptable.

Representativeness

Representativeness is the degree that data accurately and precisely represents a characteristic of a population, parameter variations at a sampling point, or an environmental condition. Representativeness was achieved by conducting sampling in compliance with the sample collection procedures described in the WP/SAP specifically written for this project (ESI, 2012).

Representativeness is also evaluated through the compliance with the sample holding time, sample preservation, and the analysis of blank samples, including method blank and trip blank samples. The sample holding time and sample preservation complied with the EPA criteria. For this sampling event, one trip blank was included in the cooler to assess contamination during sample transport for TPH-g and VOCs. TPH-g was detected in the trip blank (24 μ g/L) and method blank (21 μ g/L) at concentrations below the limit of detection [LOD]. TPH-g was detected in all field samples at concentrations below the DOH EALs. It is likely that TPH-g concentrations in the samples have been affected by laboratory contamination and are biased high; however, because the concentrations are all well below the DOH EALs, this is unlikely to

affect data usability. Therefore, the groundwater sample data are considered representative of the groundwater quality on site.

Completeness

Completeness is defined as the overall percentage of valid analytical results (including estimated results) compared to the total number of analytical results reported by the laboratory. No data were rejected for this project, and therefore the completeness goal for this project (90%) was successfully met.

Comparability

Comparability expresses the confidence with which one data set can be compared to another data set. Comparability can be related to accuracy and precision because these quantities are measures of data reliability. Data, with acceptable precision and accuracy, are considered comparable if collection techniques, analytical procedures, methods and reporting are equivalent. For this monitoring event, the samples were collected using approaches consistent with those in the previous events, and the same analytical methods/procedures were used to measure the concentration of COPCs. Therefore, the results are considered comparable within this data set and with the data collected from previous sampling events. The field and laboratory personnel followed standard operation procedures.

All TPH-g data through July 2010 was analyzed by EPA Method 8015; beginning in October 2010, EPA Method 8260B was used. There was no event where both methods were used, and so there is no way to directly compare the results using each method and determine if one method produces biased results. However, there is no reason to believe that using either method should bias the data, and the TPH-g data for all events should be comparable.

Between August 2009 and July 2010, naphthalene was analyzed for by both EPA Methods 8260B and 8270C, and both results were reported. Beginning in October 2010, only results using EPA Method 8270C were reported. Naphthalene was not detected in any well until November 2012, so comparability with older results should not be a concern. However, the low bias associated with EPA Method 8270C must be considered when making project decisions.

Sensitivity

The LOQs are established by the laboratory based on the LODs or instrument detection limits, historical data, and EPA limits established for the methods. The LOQs for samples may require adjustment due to matrix interference or if high levels of target analytes necessitate dilution before analysis. Matrix interference and sample dilutions have the effect of increasing the LOQs. Laboratory LODs and LOQs for several analytes differed from the LODs and LOQs in the WP/SAP because the laboratory updates them quarterly. LODs and LOQs for several analytes were greater than the DOH EALs (as stated in the WP/SAP) and therefore it is not possible to determine whether the analytes are present at concentrations greater than or equal to the DOH EALs. As suggested by the DOH Technical Guidance Manual, the project action level will be the LOQ for these analytes.

3.2 Data Assessment and Usability Conclusions

The PARCCS criteria were evaluated, and with a few exceptions, all criteria were met. These exceptions include the exceedances of recovery criteria for MS/MSDs for several VOCs and PAHs. Since the surrogate recoveries and the recoveries of the VOCs and PAHs in the LCS/LCSD are all within recovery criteria, the MS/MSD exceendaces are not considered to affect the usability of the data, but may indicate some matrix heterogeneity. The data assessment concludes that all data generated during this event are usable for their intended purpose.

TABLE 3.1 Quality Control Results for Groundwater Sampling (October 23, 2013) Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility October 2013 Quarterly Monitoring Report

| | | DOH EALs | | OWDFMW01 (ES043) | | | | | OWDFMW01 (ES044) (DUP) | | | | | RPD | ES Trip | | | | |
|---------------|---|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|----------|-----|-------|--------|------------------------|---------|-----|-------|--------|---------------|---------|---------|-----|-----|------|
| Method | Chemical Constituent | Drinking Water Toxicity | Gross Contamination | Results | Q | LOQ | LOD | DL | Results | Q | LOQ | LOD | DL | Uuplicate (%) | Results | Q | LOQ | LOD | DL |
| EPA 8015B | TPH-d | 190 | 100 | 170 | HD | 50 | 20 | 15 | 200 | HD | 50 | 20 | 15 | 16.22 | - | - | - | - | - |
| EPA 8260B | TPH-g | 100 | 100 | 17 | B,J | 50 | 30 | 13 | 14 | B,J | 50 | 30 | 13 | 19.35 | 24 | B,J | 50 | 30 | 13 |
| | Acenaphthene | 370 | 20 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.020 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.020 | NA | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Acenaphthylene | 240 | 2,000 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.018 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.018 | NA | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Anthracene | 1,800 | 22 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.034 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.033 | NA | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Benzolajanthracene | 0.092 | 4.7 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.023 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.023 | NA | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Benzolg,n,ijperviene | 1,500 | 0.13 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.021 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.021 | NA NA | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Benzo[b]fluoranthene | 0.02 | 0.75 | N.D. | <u> </u> | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.030 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.030 | NA | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Benzo[k]fluoranthene | 0.92 | 0.4 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.023 | N.D. | Ŭ | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.023 | NA | - | - | - | - | - |
| 554 00700 OUM | Chrysene | 9.2 | 1 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.019 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.019 | NA | - | - | - | - | - |
| EPA 8270C SIM | Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene | 0.0092 | 0.52 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.026 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.026 | NA | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Fluoranthene | 1,500 | 130 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.027 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.027 | NA | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Fluorene | 240 | 950 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.024 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.024 | NA | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene | 0.092 | 0.095 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.022 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.022 | NA | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 1,-Methylnaphthalene | 4.7 | 10 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.028 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.028 | NA | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 2,-Methylnaphthalene | 24 | 10 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.026 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.026 | NA | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Departhrope | 240 | 21 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.023 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.023 | NA NA | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Pyrene | 180 | 68 | N D | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.030 | N.D. | U | 0.2 | 0.049 | 0.030 | NA | - | - | | - | |
| | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 200 | 970 | N.D. | U U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.30 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.30 | NA | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.30 |
| | 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 5 | 50,000 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.38 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.38 | NA | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.38 |
| | 1,1-Dichloroethane | 2.4 | 50,000 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.28 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.28 | NA | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.28 |
| | 1,1-Dichloroethylene | 7 | 1,500 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.43 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.43 | NA | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.43 |
| | 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | 0.6 | 50,000 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.64 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.64 | NA | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.64 |
| | 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 70 | 3,000 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | NA | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| | 1,2-Dibromo-3- chloropropane | 0.04 | 10 | N.D. | U | 10 | 2.0 | 1.2 | N.D. | U | 10 | 2.0 | 1.2 | NA | N.D. | U | 10 | 2.0 | 1.2 |
| | 1,2-Dibromoethane | 0.04 | 50,000 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.36 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.36 | NA | N.D. | 0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.36 |
| | 1 2-Dichloroethane | 0.15 | 7 000 | N.D. | <u> </u> | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.40 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.40 | NA | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.40 |
| | 1.2-Dichloropropane | 5 | 10 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.42 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.42 | NA | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.42 |
| | 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 180 | 5 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | NA | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| | 1,3-Dichloropropene (total of cis/trans) | 0.43 | 50,000 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.25 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.25 | NA | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.25 |
| | 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 75 | 5 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.43 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.43 | NA | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.43 |
| | Acetone | 22,000 | 20,000 | 44 | ICH | 20 | 10 | 6.0 | 38 | ICH | 20 | 10 | 6.0 | 14.63 | N.D. | ICH | 20 | 10 | 6.0 |
| | Benzene | 5 | 170 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.14 | 0.17 | J | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.14 | NA | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.14 |
| | Bromodichloromethane | 0.12 | 50,000 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.21 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.21 | NA | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.21 |
| | Bromotorm | 80 | 510 | N.D. | U | 10 | 1.0 | 0.50 | N.D. | U | 10 | 1.0 | 0.50 | NA | N.D. | U | 10 | 1.0 | 0.50 |
| | | 5 | 50,000 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.23 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 5.0 | 0.23 | ΝA | N.D. | 0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.23 |
| EPA 8260B | Chlorobenzene | 100 | 50 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.23 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.23 | NA | N.D. | U U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.23 |
| 217102000 | Chloroethane | 21.000 | 16 | N.D. | U | 10 | 5.0 | 2.3 | N.D. | Ŭ | 10 | 5.0 | 2.3 | NA | N.D. | Ŭ | 10 | 5.0 | 2.3 |
| | Chloroform | 70 | 2,400 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.46 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.46 | NA | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.46 |
| | Chloromethane | 1.8 | 50,000 | N.D. | U, IJ | 10 | 2.0 | 1.8 | N.D. | U, IJ | 10 | 2.0 | 1.8 | NA | N.D. | U, IJ | 10 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| | cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene | 70 | 50,000 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.48 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.48 | NA | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.48 |
| | Dibromochloromethane | 0.16 | 50,000 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.25 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.25 | NA | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.25 |
| | Ethylbenzene | 700 | 30 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.14 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.14 | NA | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.14 |
| | Hexachiorobutadiene Methyl ethyl ketene (2 Putenene) | 0.86 | 6 9.400 | N.D. | | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.32 | N.D. | | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.32 | NA | N.D. | | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.32 |
| | Methyl isobutyl ketone (2-Butanone) | 2,000 | 1300 | N.D. | 0,1011 | 10 | 5.0 | 4.4 | N.D. | 0,1011 | 10 | 5.0 | 4.4 | NA | N.D. | 0,1011 | 10 | 5.0 | 4.4 |
| | Methyl tert-butyl Ether | 12 | 5 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.31 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.31 | NA | N.D. | Ŭ | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.31 |
| | Methylene chloride | 4.8 | 9,100 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.64 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.64 | NA | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 1.0 | 0.64 |
| | Styrene | 100 | 10 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.17 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.17 | NA | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.17 |
| | Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,1,2- | 0.52 | 50,000 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.40 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.40 | NA | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.40 |
| | Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,2,2- | 0.067 | 500 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.41 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.41 | NA | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.41 |
| | Tetrachloroethylene | 5 | 170 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.39 | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.39 | NA | N.D. | U | 5.0 | 0.5 | 0.39 |
| | I oluene | 1,000 | 40 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.24 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.24 | NA | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.24 |
| | | 100 | 20U 310 | N.D. | U [] | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.37 | N.D. | U 11 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.37 | NA NA | N.D. | U 11 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.37 |
| | Vinvl chloride | 2 | 3,400 | N.D. | U U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.30 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.30 | NA | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.30 |
| | Xylenes | 10,000 | 20 | N.D. | U | 11 | 1.5 | 0.23 | N.D. | Ŭ | 11 | 1.5 | 0.23 | NA | N.D. | U | 11 | 1.5 | 0.23 |
| EPA 6020 | Dissolved Lead | 15 | 50,000 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0898 | N.D. | U | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0898 | NA | - | - | - | - | - |

The data are in micrograms per liter (µg/L). Shaded values exceeded the DOH EALs. DOH EALs DOH Tier 1 Environmental Action Levels for groundwater where groundwater is a current drinking water source and surface water is greater than 150 meters from the site (DOH, Fall 2011). DL Detection Limit or Method Detection Limit (MDL) EPA Environmental Protection Agency HD The chromatographic pattern was inconsistent with the profile of the reference fuel standard. J Analyte was detected at a concentration below the LOQ and above the DL. Reported value is estimated. LOD Limit of Detection

LOQ NA N.D. Limit of Quantitation Both results for duplicate pair were non-detect, no RPD calculations Not Detected

U

Qualifiers

Q TPH-g TPH-d

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as gasoline Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons as diesel Undetected at DL and is reported as less than the LOD.

SECTION 4 – SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This quarterly monitoring report presents the results of groundwater sampling conducted on October 23, 2013, at the RHSF, JBPHH, Hawaii. The RHSF is located in Halawa Heights on the Island of Oahu. The DOH Facility ID number for the RHSF is 9-102271. The DOH Release ID numbers are 990051, 010011, and 020028.

The groundwater sampling was conducted as part of the long-term groundwater and soil vapor monitoring at the RHSF, under NAVFAC Contract Number N62742-12-D-1853. The sampling was conducted in accordance with the approved WP/SAP prepared by ESI.

On October 23, 2013, ESI personnel collected groundwater samples from two monitoring wells (wells HDMW2253-03 and OWDFMW01). A summary of the analytical results is provided below.

- **HDMW2253-03** TPH-g (15 μg/L) and naphthalene (0.041 μg/L) were detected. The COPCs were not detected at concentrations above the DOH EALs.
- OWDFMW01 TPH-d (170 and 200 μg/L), TPH-g (17 and 14 μg/L), and acetone (44 and 38 μg/L) were detected in both the primary and duplicate samples. Benzene (0.17 μg/L) was only detected in the duplicate sample. TPH-d (170 and 200 μg/L) was detected at concentrations above the DOH EALs for both drinking water toxicity and gross contamination in the duplicate sample and for only gross contamination in the primary sample.

TPH-g was detected in the method and trip blanks at concentrations of 21 and 24 μ g/L, respectively. Because of this, it is likely that the TPH-g concentrations detected in the groundwater samples are all biased high.

Groundwater Contaminant Trends

Historical groundwater contaminant concentration trends of COPCs that exceeded the DOH EALs are presented in Appendix D. A summary of groundwater contaminant trends is provided below.

- HDMW2253-03 TPH-d was not detected during this round of quarterly sampling. TPH-d concentrations last exceeded the DOH EALs for both drinking water toxicity and gross contamination in January 2013 (600 µg/L). Naphthalene was detected but remained at a low concentration, below the DOH EALs and consistent with historical results.
- OWDFMW01 With the exception of TPH-d, groundwater contaminant concentrations remained at low concentrations and did not change significantly, or were not detected. TPH-d concentrations detected during this round of sampling were above the DOH EALs

for both drinking water toxicity and gross contamination, but decreased significantly from the last event, and were the lowest they have been since July 2012.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Since the wells were last sampled (July 2013), with the exception of TPH-d in well OWDFMW01, groundwater contaminant concentrations remained at low concentrations and did not change significantly, or were not detected. TPH-d concentrations decreased in well OWDFMW01, but remained above the DOH EALs for both drinking water toxicity and gross contamination. TPH-d concentrations in well OWDFMW01 decreased from 470 μ g/L during the last round of sampling to 170 μ g/L during this round. TPH-d was not detected in well HDMW2253-03 during this event and was last detected during April 2013 (45 μ g/L).

Based on the results of the assessment, continued groundwater monitoring at the RHSF is recommended. If the TPH-d concentrations significantly increase, the monitoring frequency should be increased to monthly, even though the two outside wells are not included in the RHSF Groundwater Protection Plan.

SECTION 5 – FUTURE WORK

GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

Future work includes the first quarter 2014 groundwater monitoring which is scheduled for January 2014. It is anticipated that the quarterly groundwater monitoring status report will be submitted in March 2014.

SECTION 6 – REFERENCES

Atlas of Hawaii, 1983, Department of Geography, University of Hawaii Press.

DLNR, 1985, Pan Evaporation: State of Hawai'i 1894-1983: Report R74, Division of Water and Land Development, August 1995.

DLNR, 1986, Rainfall Atlas of Hawaii: Report R76, Division of Water and Land Development, June 1986.

DOH, 2000, Hawaii Department of Health, Technical Guidance Manual for Underground Storage Tank Closure and Release Response, March 2000.

DOH, 2011, Screening for Environmental Hazards at Sites with Contaminated Soil and Groundwater, Hawai'i Department of Health, Hazard Evaluation and Emergency Response, December 2011.

DON, 2007, Project Procedures Manual, U.S. Navy Installation Restoration Program, NAVFAC Pacific, Prepared for Pacific Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC Pacific), February 2007.

Environet, 2010, Work Plan, Long-Term Monitoring, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii, September 2010.

Environet, 2012, Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report-Outside (Non-Tunnel Wells), Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii, July 2012.

ESI, 2012, Work Plan/Sampling and Analysis Plan, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii, October 2012.

ESI, 2013a, Fourth Quarter 2012 - Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report Outside Tunnel Wells, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii, January 2013.

ESI, 2013b, First Quarter 2013 - Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report Outside Tunnel Wells, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii, April 2013.

ESI, 2013c, Second Quarter 2013 - Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report Outside Tunnel Wells, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii, July 2013.

ESI, 2013d, Third Quarter 2013 - Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report Outside Tunnel Wells, Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility, Pearl Harbor, Oahu, Hawaii, September 2013.

Foote et al., 1972, Soil Survey of the Islands of Kauai, Oahu, Maui, Molokai, and Lanai, State of Hawaii.

Mink, J. F. and Lau, L. S., 1990, Aquifer Identification and Classification for Oahu: Groundwater Protection Strategy for Hawaii: Water Resources Research Center Technical Report No. 179, February 1990.

Stearns, H. T. and Vaksvik, K. N., 1935, Geology and Groundwater Resources of the Island of Oahu, Hawaii: Hawaii Div. Hydrogr. Bull.

Stearns, H. T. and Vaksvik, K. N., 1938, Records of the Drilled Wells on the Island of Oahu, Hawaii: Hawaii Div. Hydrogr. Bull. 4, 213 p.

TEC, 2008, Final Groundwater Protection Plan, Red Hill Fuel Storage Facility, Prepared for Navy Region Hawaii, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, January 2008.

TEC, 2010a, Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report – Outside (Non-Tunnel) Wells, Prepared for Navy Region Hawaii, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, April 2010.

TEC, 2010b, Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report – Outside (Non-Tunnel) Wells, Prepared for Navy Region Hawaii, Pearl Harbor, Hawaii, May 2010.

FIGURES




APPENDIX A

Groundwater Sampling Logs

Groundwater Sampling Log

| Well ID: OV | VDFMW01 | Location: | Red Hi | ll Bulk Fuel Stora | age Facility | Project No.: | 112066 |
|--------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Initial Wate | r Level: 12 | 20.74 ft | Date: | 10/23/2013 | | Time: 710 | |
| Total Depth | of Well: | 145.10 ft | Person | nel Involved: | Branden Ib | oara, Jeff Hatteme | er |
| Length of S | aturated Zone | e: | Weath | er Conditions: | S | Sunny, Hot | |
| Volume of V | Water to be R | emoved: 5.0 L | Method | d of Removal: | Disposa | able Hand Bailer | |
| Water Leve | el After Purgin | g: <u>121.96 ft</u> | Pumpir | ng Rate: | 0.2 | 26 L/min | |
| Well Purge | Data: | | | | | | |
| Time | Volume Removed | Co pH (| onductivity (mS/cm) | DO (mg/l) | Temperature | Salinity | Redox (ORP) (mV) |
| 712 | 0.0 L | 9.69 | 3.042 | 1.45 | 23.41 | - | -154.0 |
| 715 | 1.0 L | 11.03 | 3.185 | 2.00 | 23.47 | - | -170.8 |
| 720 | 2.0 L | 11.12 | 3.230 | 2.04 | 23.51 | - | -181.2 |
| 723 | 3.0 L | 11.11 | 3.229 | 2.40 | 23.81 | - | -180.0 |
| 727 | 4.0 L | 11.18 | 3.249 | 2.27 | 23.84 | - | -190.8 |
| 731 | 5.0 L | | 3.254 | 2.13 | 23.63 | - | -179.4 |
| | | · | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Sample Wit | thdrawal Meth | nod: Dispo | osable Han | d Bailer | | | |
| Appearance | e of Sample: | | | | | | |
| | Color: | | Clear | | | | |
| | Turbidity: Low | | | | | | |
| | Sediment: | Wł | nite Particle | s | | | |
| | Other: | | None | | | | |
| Laboratory | Analysis Para | meters and Prese | ervatives: | <u>TPH-d - 8015;</u> | TPH-g, VOCs - 8 | 8260; PAHs - 827 | ′0c sim; |
| NI | | | 10 10 | | | Lauchaulau (E | |
| Number an | d Types of Sa | imple Containers: | <u>16 - 40mi</u> | VOAS, 6 - 1L an | 10er Jar, 4 - 500m | il amber jar, 4 - 5 | |
| Sample Ide | ntification Nul | mbers: <u>ES034</u> | , ES034 MS | 5/MSD [0745]; E | S035 [0830] | | |
| Decontamir | hation Proced | | sea | | | | |
| Sampled by | ala not have | bara leff Hatten | ner | | | | |
| Sampled D | elivered to: | Calscience E | nvironment | al Lab | Transporters: Fe | dEx | |
| Date: 10 | /23/2013 | | | | Time: <u>1</u> 200 | | |
| | | Cap | acity of Ca | sing (Gallons/Lir | near Feet) | | |

Groundwater Sampling Log

| Well ID: <u>HI</u> | DMW2253-03 | Location: | Red Hill | Bulk Fuel Stora | ge Facility | Project No.: | 112066 |
|--------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Initial Wate | er Level: | | Date: | 10/23/2013 | | Time: | 900 |
| Total Depti | n of Well: | 1575 ft | Person | nel Involved: | Branden | Ibara, Jeff Ha | ttemer |
| Length of S | Saturated Zone: | - | Weathe | er Conditions: | | Sunny, Hot | |
| Volume of | Water to be Rem | noved: | Method | of Removal: | Dispos | sable Hand Ba | ailer |
| Water Leve | el After Purging: | | Pumpin | ig Rate: | 0 | .27 L/min | |
| Well Purge | Data: | | | | | | |
| Time | Volume | C | onductivity | | Tomporature | Colinit | Redox (ORP) |
| | Removed | p <u>n</u> | | | | | <u>y (IIIV)</u> |
| 906 | <u> </u> | 8.07 | 0.576 | 3.23 | 23.02 | | |
| 959 | <u>1.0 L</u> | <u> </u> | 0.495 | 2.48 | 22.69 | | -147.4 |
| 1004 | <u> </u> | 0.64 | 0.792 | 1.00 | 22.40 | | - 149.0 |
| 1014 | <u>3.0 L</u> | 6.76 | 0.490 | 2.71 | 22.39 | | -120.3 |
| Sample Wi | thdrawal Method e of Sample: Color: | : Disp | bosable Hand | d Bailer | | | |
| | Turbidity: | | Low | | | | |
| | Sediment: | | None | | | | |
| | Other: | | None | | | | |
| Laboratory | Analysis Parame | eters and Pres | ervatives: | <u>TPH-d - 8015;</u> lead - 6020 | TPH-g, VOCs | - 8260; PAHs | - 8270c sim; |
| Number an | d Types of Sam | ole Containers | : 6 - 40ml V | OAs, 2 - 1L amb | er jar, 1 - 500m | nl amber jar, 1 | - 250ml HDPE |
| Sample Ide | entification Numb | ers: ES036 | 6 [0930] | · · | 3 | . | |
| Decontami | nation Procedure | es: Triple Rin | sed | | | | |
| Notes: YS | SI did not have sa | alinity paramet | er. Brake in l | bailing occurred | between 0L an | d 1L because | DLNR personal |
| we | ent back to office | to retrieve ree | l to retrieve | diver from well. | | | i |
| Sampled b | y: Branden Iba | ara, Jeff Hatte | mer | | | | |
| Sampled D | elivered to: | Calscience E | Environmenta | al Lab | Transporters: F | edEx | |
| Date: 10 | /23/2013 | <u></u> | nacity of Cor | sing (Callons/Lin | 1 ime: <u>1200</u> | | |
| | | 2"-0.1 | 6• 4"-0.65 • | 8"-2.61 • 10"-4.0 | 8 • 12"-5.87 | | |

APPENDIX B

Field Notes

Location Red H,"1) Project / Client NAVFAC Dati 0/23/13 103 102 Location Red 11,11 Project / Client NAVFAC site to Fed Bx. Jurpse' Croundwater Samphry 1200 Dropped off Sumples & Fid ITX, Depart Situ. Personnel: 5H, BI 0615 Meet & africe, Load ile. 0700 @ Red H.11 well OwpFAWOI. (0710 DIW=120.74 bgs. - Gafery meeting 0712 Begin hand bailing OMDEMNOL. 0731 Bailed 5 librs U745 Collected ELO43' ELO43 AS/AS) 0830 Collectud ELOYY. 0845 Mery DLNR @ Halawa 0906 Start purge HDM 2253.03. 0908 could getting fangled wire for diver. Robert goes back to office to pille up something to retrieve it. 0955 DUNR back onster Pull our diver and regume 1014 Done proging well. 4 L removed Collected sample \$5045 (0930 on (0). 1120 Drop 088 FDW and deput

APPENDIX C

Laboratory Reports



WORK ORDER NUMBER: 13-10-1893

The difference is service



AIR | SOIL | WATER | MARINE CHEMISTRY

Analytical Report For Client: Environmental Science International, Inc. Client Project Name: Red Hill LTM 112066 Attention: Robert Chong 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 Kailua, HI 96734-2500

Richard Villey)

Approved for release on 10/31/2013 by: Richard Villafania Project Manager

ResultLink ▶

Email your PM >



Calscience Environmental Laboratories, Inc. (Calscience) certifies that the test results provided in this report meet all NELAC requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAC requirements are noted in the case narrative. The original report of subcontracted analyses, if any, is attached to this report. The results in this report are limited to the sample(s) tested and any reproduction thereof must be made in its entirety. The client or recipient of this report is specifically prohibited from making material changes to said report and, to the extent that such changes are made, Calscience is not responsible, legally or otherwise. The client or recipient agrees to indemnify Calscience for any defense to any litigation which may arise.



10 Lincoln Way, Garden Grove, CA 92841-1432 * TEL: (714) 895-5494 * FAX: (714) 894-7501 * www.calscience.com



Client Project Name: Red Hill LTM 112066 Work Order Number: 13-10-1893

| 1 | Work Order Narrative | 3 |
|---|--|------------------------|
| 2 | Client Sample Data.2.1 EPA 8015B (M) TPH Diesel (Aqueous).2.2 EPA 6020 ICP/MS Metals (Aqueous).2.3 EPA 8270C SIM PAHs (Aqueous).2.4 GC/MS GRO/EPA 8260B Volatile Organics (Aqueous). | 4 4 5 6 10 |
| 3 | Quality Control Sample Data.3.1 MS/MSD.3.2 PDS/PDSD.3.3 LCS/LCSD. | 20 20 25 26 |
| 4 | Sample Analysis Summary | 31 |
| 5 | Glossary of Terms and Qualifiers | 32 |
| 6 | Chain of Custody/Sample Receipt Form | 33 |





Work Order: 13-10-1893

Page 1 of 1

Condition Upon Receipt:

Samples were received under Chain of Custody (COC) on 10/25/13. They were assigned to Work Order 13-10-1893.

Unless otherwise noted on the Sample Receiving forms all samples were received in good condition and within the recommended EPA temperature criteria for the methods noted on the COC. The COC and Sample Receiving Documents are integral elements of the analytical report and are presented at the back of the report.

Holding Times:

All samples were analyzed within prescribed holding times (HT) and/or in accordance with the Calscience Sample Acceptance Policy unless otherwise noted in the analytical report and/or comprehensive case narrative, if required.

Any parameter identified in 40CFR Part 136.3 Table II that is designated as "analyze immediately" with a holding time of <= 15 minutes (40CFR-136.3 Table II, footnote 4), is considered a "field" test and the reported results will be qualified as being received outside of the stated holding time unless received at the laboratory within 15 minutes of the collection time.

Quality Control:

All quality control parameters (QC) were within established control limits except where noted in the QC summary forms or described further within this report.

Additional Comments:

Solid - Unless otherwise indicated, solid sample data is reported on a wet weight basis, not corrected for % moisture. All QC results are always reported on a wet weight basis.

Subcontractor Information:

Unless otherwise noted below (or on the subcontract form), no samples were subcontracted.

| Environment | al Science Internationa | II, Inc. | D | ate Receiv | ved: | | | 10/25/13 |
|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| 354 Uluniu S | Street, Suite 304 | | W | /ork Order | : | | | 13-10-1893 |
| Kailua, HI 96 | 734-2500 | | P | reparation | : | | | EPA 3510C |
| | | | М | ethod: | | | | EPA 8015B (M) |
| | | | U | nits: | | | | ua/l |
| Project: Red | Hill I TM 112066 | | 0 | 1110. | | | P | ane 1 of 1 |
| | | | | | | | • | |
| Client Sample N | lumber | Lab Sample Number | Date/Time Collected | Matrix | Instrumen | t Date Prepared | Date/Time Analyzed | QC Batch ID |
| ES043 | | 13-10-1893-2-H | 10/23/13 07:45 | Aqueous | GC 46 | 10/28/13 | 10/29/13 08:31 | 131028B12 |
| Comment(s): | - Results were evaluated to | o the MDL (DL), con | centrations >= to | the MDL (DL | _) but < RL | (LOQ), if found, | are qualified with | a "J" flag. |
| | - TPH as Diesel is quantifie | ed in the carbon rang | ge C10-C28. | | | | | |
| Parameter | | <u>Result</u> | DL | LOD | | LOQ | DF | <u>Qualifiers</u> |
| TPH as Diesel | | 170 | 15 | 20 | | 50 | 1 | HD |
| Surrogate | | Rec. (%) | Control Lin | nite Oualit | fiors | | | |
| n-Octacosane | | <u>82</u> | 51-141 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| ES044 | | 13-10-1893-3-H | 10/23/13 08:30 | Aqueous | GC 46 | 10/28/13 | 10/29/13 08:48 | 131028B12 |
| Comment(s): | - Results were evaluated to | o the MDL (DL), con | centrations >= to | the MDL (DL |) but < RL | (LOQ), if found, | are qualified with | a "J" flag. |
| | - TPH as Diesel is quantifie | ed in the carbon rang | ge C10-C28. | | | | | |
| Parameter | | <u>Result</u> | DL | LOD | | LOQ | DF | <u>Qualifiers</u> |
| TPH as Diesel | | 200 | 15 | 20 | | 50 | 1 | HD |
| Current and the | | | Control Lin | | C | | | |
| <u>Surrogate</u> | | <u>Rec. (%)</u> | <u>Control Lin</u> | <u>lits</u> Quali | liers | | | |
| II-Octacosarie | | 90 | 51-141 | | | | | |
| ES045 | | 13-10-1893-4-H | 10/23/13 09:30 | Aqueous | GC 46 | 10/28/13 | 10/29/13 09:05 | 131028B12 |
| Comment(s): | - Results were evaluated to | the MDL (DL), con | centrations >= to | the MDL (DL | _) but < RL | (LOQ), if found, | are qualified with | a "J" flag. |
| | - TPH as Diesel is quantifie | ed in the carbon rang | ge C10-C28. | | | | | |
| Parameter | | <u>Result</u> | DL | LOD | | LOQ | DF | <u>Qualifiers</u> |
| TPH as Diesel | | <20 | 15 | 20 | | 50 | 1 | U |
| Surragata | | $Boo(\mathfrak{N})$ | Control Lim | oita Oualit | fioro | | | |
| <u>Sunoyate</u> | | <u>Rec. (70)</u> 84 | 51-1/1 | | liers | | | |
| II-Octacosane | | 04 | 51-141 | | | | | |
| Method Blank | | 099-15-516-65 | N/A | Aqueous | GC 46 | 10/28/13 | 10/29/13 06:36 | 131028B12 |
| Comment(s): | - Results were evaluated to | o the MDL (DL), con | centrations >= to | the MDL (DL |) but < RL | (LOQ), if found, | are qualified with | a "J" flag. |
| Parameter | | <u>Result</u> | DL | LOD | | LOQ | DF | <u>Qualifiers</u> |
| TPH as Diesel | | <20 | 15 | 20 | | 50 | 1 | U |
| 0 | | D (84) | | | . | | | |
| <u>surrogate</u> | | <u>кес. (%)</u> 77 | 51-1/1 | <u>ins Quali</u> | liers | | | |
| | | 11 | 01-141 | | | | | |

Return to Contents



| Environment | al Science Internationa | al. Inc. | | Date Receiv | ved: | | | 10/25/13 | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|
| 354 Illuniu Street Suite 304 | | | | Work Order | | | 13-10-1893 | | |
| Kailua HI 96 | 734-2500 | | , | Preparation | | | FI | PA 3020A Total | |
| Randa, III 90 | 734-2300 | | | Method: | • | | _ | EPA 6020 | |
| | | | | vietniou. | | | | | |
| | | | , i | Units. | | | | ug/L | |
| Project: Red | HIII LTM 112066 | | | | | | P | age 1 of 1 | |
| Client Sample N | lumber | Lab Sample Number | Date/Time Collected | Matrix | Instrument | Date Prepared | Date/Time Analyzed | QC Batch ID | |
| ES043 | | 13-10-1893-2-G | 10/23/13 07:45 | Aqueous | ICP/MS 04 | 10/25/13 | 10/26/13 04:41 | 131025L03D | |
| Comment(s): | - Results were evaluated to | o the MDL (DL), con | centrations >= to | o the MDL (DL |) but < RL (LO | Q), if found, a | are qualified with | a "J" flag. | |
| Parameter | | <u>Result</u> | DL | LOD | LOC | <u>2</u> | <u>DF</u> | <u>Qualifiers</u> | |
| Lead | | <0.200 | 0.0898 | 0.200 | 1.00 | C | 1 | U | |
| ES044 | | 13-10-1893-3-G | 10/23/13 08:30 | Aqueous | ICP/MS 04 | 10/25/13 | 10/26/13 04:44 | 131025L03D | |
| Comment(s): | - Results were evaluated to | o the MDL (DL), con | centrations >= te | o the MDL (DL |) but < RL (LO | Q), if found, a | are qualified with | a "J" flag. | |
| Parameter | | Result | DL | LOD | LOC | <u>2</u> | <u>DF</u> | <u>Qualifiers</u> | |
| Lead | | <0.200 | 0.0898 | 0.200 | 1.00 | D | 1 | U | |
| ES045 | | 13-10-1893-4-G | 10/23/13 09:30 | Aqueous | ICP/MS 04 | 10/25/13 | 10/26/13 04:48 | 131025L03D | |
| Comment(s): | - Results were evaluated to | o the MDL (DL), con | centrations >= te | o the MDL (DL |) but < RL (LO | Q), if found, a | are qualified with | a "J" flag. | |
| Parameter | | <u>Result</u> | DL | LOD | LOC | <u>2</u> | <u>DF</u> | <u>Qualifiers</u> | |
| Lead | | <0.200 | 0.0898 | 0.200 | 1.00 | C | 1 | U | |
| Method Blank | | 099-14-497-47 | N/A | Aqueous | ICP/MS 04 | 10/25/13 | 10/26/13 04:08 | 131025L03D | |
| Comment(s): | - Results were evaluated to | o the MDL (DL), con | centrations >= to | o the MDL (DL |) but < RL (LO | Q), if found, a | are qualified with | a "J" flag. | |
| Parameter | | <u>Result</u> | DL | LOD | LOC | <u>2</u> | <u>DF</u> | <u>Qualifiers</u> | |
| Lead | | <0.200 | 0.0898 | 0.200 | 1.00 | C | 1 | U | |



| Date Received: | 10/25/13 |
|----------------|--------------------|
| Work Order: | 13-10-1893 |
| Preparation: | EPA 3510C |
| Method: | EPA 8270C SIM PAHs |
| Units: | ug/L |
| | Page 1 of 4 |

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

| Client Sample Number | Lab Sample Number | Date/Time Collected | Matrix | Instrument | Date Prepared | Date/Time Analyzed | QC Batch ID |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| ES043 | 13-10-1893-2-I | 10/23/13 07:45 | Aqueous | GC/MS AAA | 10/25/13 | 10/28/13 15:42 | 131025L05 |
| Comment(s): - Results were evaluate | ed to the MDL (DL), con | centrations >= to tl | he MDL (DL |) but < RL (LOC |), if found, a | are qualified with a | ı "J" flag. |
| Parameter | Result | DL | LOD | LOC | 2 | DF | Qualifiers |
| Naphthalene | <0.049 | 0.023 | 0.049 | 0.20 | | 0.985 | U |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | <0.049 | 0.026 | 0.049 | 0.20 | | 0.985 | U |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | <0.049 | 0.028 | 0.049 | 0.20 | | 0.985 | U |
| Acenaphthylene | <0.049 | 0.018 | 0.049 | 0.20 | | 0.985 | U |
| Acenaphthene | <0.049 | 0.020 | 0.049 | 0.20 | | 0.985 | U |
| Fluorene | <0.049 | 0.024 | 0.049 | 0.20 | | 0.985 | U |
| Phenanthrene | <0.049 | 0.030 | 0.049 | 0.20 | | 0.985 | U |
| Anthracene | <0.049 | 0.034 | 0.049 | 0.20 | | 0.985 | U |
| Fluoranthene | <0.049 | 0.027 | 0.049 | 0.20 | | 0.985 | U |
| Pyrene | <0.049 | 0.024 | 0.049 | 0.20 | | 0.985 | U |
| Benzo (a) Anthracene | <0.049 | 0.023 | 0.049 | 0.20 | | 0.985 | U |
| Chrysene | <0.049 | 0.019 | 0.049 | 0.20 | | 0.985 | U |
| Benzo (k) Fluoranthene | <0.049 | 0.023 | 0.049 | 0.20 | | 0.985 | U |
| Benzo (b) Fluoranthene | <0.049 | 0.025 | 0.049 | 0.20 | | 0.985 | U |
| Benzo (a) Pyrene | <0.049 | 0.036 | 0.049 | 0.20 | | 0.985 | U |
| Indeno (1,2,3-c,d) Pyrene | <0.049 | 0.022 | 0.049 | 0.20 | | 0.985 | U |
| Dibenz (a,h) Anthracene | <0.049 | 0.026 | 0.049 | 0.20 | | 0.985 | U |
| Benzo (g,h,i) Perylene | <0.049 | 0.021 | 0.049 | 0.20 | | 0.985 | U |
| Surrogate | <u>Rec. (%)</u> | Control Limi | ts Qualif | iers | | | |
| Nitrobenzene-d5 | 76 | 28-139 | | | | | |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 71 | 33-144 | | | | | |
| p-Terphenyl-d14 | 85 | 23-160 | | | | | |



| Date Received: | 10/25/13 |
|----------------|--------------------|
| Work Order: | 13-10-1893 |
| Preparation: | EPA 3510C |
| Method: | EPA 8270C SIM PAHs |
| Units: | ug/L |
| | Page 2 of 4 |

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

| Client Sample Number | Lab Sample Number | Date/Time Collected | Matrix | Instrument | Date Prepared | Date/Time Analyzed | QC Batch ID |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| ES044 | 13-10-1893-3-I | 10/23/13 08:30 | Aqueous | GC/MS AAA | 10/25/13 | 10/28/13 16:05 | 131025L05 |
| Comment(s): - Results were evaluate | d to the MDL (DL), con | centrations >= to tl | he MDL (DL |) but < RL (LOC |), if found, are | qualified with a | "J" flag. |
| Parameter | Result | DL | LOD | LOC | | <u>DF</u> | <u>Qualifiers</u> |
| Naphthalene | <0.049 | 0.023 | 0.049 | 0.20 | 0 | .98 | U |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | <0.049 | 0.026 | 0.049 | 0.20 | 0 | .98 | U |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | <0.049 | 0.028 | 0.049 | 0.20 | 0 | .98 | U |
| Acenaphthylene | <0.049 | 0.018 | 0.049 | 0.20 | 0 | .98 | U |
| Acenaphthene | <0.049 | 0.020 | 0.049 | 0.20 | 0 | .98 | U |
| Fluorene | <0.049 | 0.024 | 0.049 | 0.20 | 0 | .98 | U |
| Phenanthrene | <0.049 | 0.030 | 0.049 | 0.20 | 0 | .98 | U |
| Anthracene | <0.049 | 0.033 | 0.049 | 0.20 | 0 | .98 | U |
| Fluoranthene | <0.049 | 0.027 | 0.049 | 0.20 | 0 | .98 | U |
| Pyrene | <0.049 | 0.024 | 0.049 | 0.20 | 0 | .98 | U |
| Benzo (a) Anthracene | <0.049 | 0.023 | 0.049 | 0.20 | 0 | .98 | U |
| Chrysene | <0.049 | 0.019 | 0.049 | 0.20 | 0 | .98 | U |
| Benzo (k) Fluoranthene | <0.049 | 0.023 | 0.049 | 0.20 | 0 | .98 | U |
| Benzo (b) Fluoranthene | <0.049 | 0.024 | 0.049 | 0.20 | 0 | .98 | U |
| Benzo (a) Pyrene | <0.049 | 0.036 | 0.049 | 0.20 | 0 | .98 | U |
| Indeno (1,2,3-c,d) Pyrene | <0.049 | 0.022 | 0.049 | 0.20 | 0 | .98 | U |
| Dibenz (a,h) Anthracene | <0.049 | 0.026 | 0.049 | 0.20 | 0 | .98 | U |
| Benzo (g,h,i) Perylene | <0.049 | 0.021 | 0.049 | 0.20 | 0 0 | 0.98 | U |
| Surrogate | <u>Rec. (%)</u> | Control Limi | <u>ts Qualifi</u> | ers | | | |
| Nitrobenzene-d5 | 77 | 28-139 | | | | | |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 71 | 33-144 | | | | | |
| p-Terphenyl-d14 | 84 | 23-160 | | | | | |



| Date Received: | 10/25/13 |
|----------------|--------------------|
| Work Order: | 13-10-1893 |
| Preparation: | EPA 3510C |
| Method: | EPA 8270C SIM PAHs |
| Units: | ug/L |
| | Page 3 of 4 |

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

| Client Sample Number | Lab Sample Number | Date/Time Collected | Matrix | Instrument | Date Prepared | Date/Time Analyzed | QC Batch ID |
|---|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| ES045 | 13-10-1893-4-I | 10/23/13 09:30 | Aqueous | GC/MS AAA | 10/25/13 | 10/28/13 16:28 | 131025L05 |
| Comment(s): - Results were evaluated to | the MDL (DL), cond | centrations >= to th | he MDL (DL) |) but < RL (LOC |), if found, are | qualified with a | "J" flag. |
| Parameter | <u>Result</u> | <u>DL</u> | LOD | LOG | <u>D</u> | <u>F</u> | <u>Qualifiers</u> |
| Naphthalene | 0.041 | 0.023 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | | J |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | <0.050 | 0.026 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | | U |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | <0.050 | 0.028 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | | U |
| Acenaphthylene | <0.050 | 0.018 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | | U |
| Acenaphthene | <0.050 | 0.021 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | | U |
| Fluorene | <0.050 | 0.024 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | | U |
| Phenanthrene | <0.050 | 0.031 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | | U |
| Anthracene | <0.050 | 0.034 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | | U |
| Fluoranthene | <0.050 | 0.027 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | | U |
| Pyrene | <0.050 | 0.025 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | | U |
| Benzo (a) Anthracene | <0.050 | 0.024 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | | U |
| Chrysene | <0.050 | 0.019 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | | U |
| Benzo (k) Fluoranthene | <0.050 | 0.023 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | | U |
| Benzo (b) Fluoranthene | <0.050 | 0.025 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | | U |
| Benzo (a) Pyrene | <0.050 | 0.036 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | | U |
| Indeno (1,2,3-c,d) Pyrene | <0.050 | 0.022 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | | U |
| Dibenz (a,h) Anthracene | <0.050 | 0.027 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | | U |
| Benzo (g,h,i) Perylene | <0.050 | 0.022 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | | U |
| Surrogate | <u>Rec. (%)</u> | Control Limi | <u>ts Qualifi</u> | ers | | | |
| Nitrobenzene-d5 | 80 | 28-139 | | | | | |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 74 | 33-144 | | | | | |
| p-Terphenyl-d14 | 87 | 23-160 | | | | | |

Return to Contents

alscience _nvironmental , aboratories, Inc.

| Environmental Science International, Inc. |
|---|
| 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 |
| Kailua, HI 96734-2500 |

| Analytical | Report |
|------------|--------|
|------------|--------|

| Date Received: | 10/25/13 |
|----------------|--------------------|
| Work Order: | 13-10-1893 |
| Preparation: | EPA 3510C |
| Method: | EPA 8270C SIM PAHs |
| Units: | ug/L |
| | Page 4 of 4 |

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

| Client Sample Number | Lab Sample Number | Date/Time Collected | Matrix | Instrument | Date Prepared | Date/Time C Analyzed | QC Batch ID |
|--|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| Method Blank | 099-15-148-20 | N/A | Aqueous | GC/MS AAA | 10/25/13 | 10/28/13 1 14:08 | 31025L05 |
| Comment(s): - Results were evaluated t | o the MDL (DL), con | centrations >= to th | ne MDL (DL |) but < RL (LOC |), if found, are (| qualified with a "J" | flag. |
| Parameter | <u>Result</u> | DL | LOD | LOC | <u>D</u> | <u>E Qu</u> | ualifiers |
| Naphthalene | <0.050 | 0.023 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | U | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | <0.050 | 0.026 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | U | |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | <0.050 | 0.028 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | U | |
| Acenaphthylene | <0.050 | 0.018 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | U | |
| Acenaphthene | <0.050 | 0.021 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | U | |
| Fluorene | <0.050 | 0.024 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | U | |
| Phenanthrene | <0.050 | 0.031 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | U | |
| Anthracene | <0.050 | 0.034 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | U | |
| Fluoranthene | <0.050 | 0.027 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | U | |
| Pyrene | <0.050 | 0.025 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | U | |
| Benzo (a) Anthracene | <0.050 | 0.024 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | U | |
| Chrysene | <0.050 | 0.019 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | U | |
| Benzo (k) Fluoranthene | <0.050 | 0.023 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | U | |
| Benzo (b) Fluoranthene | <0.050 | 0.025 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | U | |
| Benzo (a) Pyrene | <0.050 | 0.036 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | U | |
| Indeno (1,2,3-c,d) Pyrene | <0.050 | 0.022 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | U | |
| Dibenz (a,h) Anthracene | <0.050 | 0.027 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | U | |
| Benzo (g,h,i) Perylene | <0.050 | 0.022 | 0.050 | 0.20 | 1 | U | |
| Surrogate | <u>Rec. (%)</u> | Control Limi | <u>ts Qualifi</u> | ers | | | |
| Nitrobenzene-d5 | 70 | 28-139 | | | | | |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyl | 72 | 33-144 | | | | | |
| p-Terphenyl-d14 | 79 | 23-160 | | | | | |



| Date Received: | 10/25/13 |
|----------------|-------------------|
| Work Order: | 13-10-1893 |
| Preparation: | EPA 5030C |
| Method: | GC/MS / EPA 8260B |
| Units: | ug/L |
| | Page 1 of 10 |

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

| Client Sample Number | Lab Sample Number | Date/Time Collected | Matrix | Instrument | Date Prepared | Date/Time Analyzed | QC Batch ID |
|---|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| ES TRIP | 13-10-1893-1-A | 10/23/13 07:00 | Aqueous | GC/MS LL | 10/26/13 | 10/26/13 19:13 | 131026L01 |
| Comment(s): - Results were evaluated to | the MDL (DL), cond | centrations >= t | o the MDL (DL |) but < RL (LOC |), if found, are | qualified with a | a "J" flag. |
| Parameter | Result | DL | LOD | LOC | | <u>DF</u> | Qualifiers |
| Acetone | <10 | 6.0 | 10 | 20 | 1 | | U,ICH |
| Benzene | <0.50 | 0.14 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| Bromodichloromethane | <0.50 | 0.21 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| Bromoform | <1.0 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 10 | 1 | | U |
| Bromomethane | <5.0 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 20 | 1 | | U |
| 2-Butanone | <5.0 | 2.2 | 5.0 | 10 | 1 | | U,ICH |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | <0.50 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| Chlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| Chloroethane | <5.0 | 2.3 | 5.0 | 10 | 1 | | U |
| Chloroform | <0.50 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| Chloromethane | <2.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 10 | 1 | | U,IJ |
| Dibromochloromethane | <0.50 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | <2.0 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 10 | 1 | | U |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | <0.50 | 0.36 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.28 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.24 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| c-1,2-Dichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| t-1,2-Dichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | <0.50 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| c-1,3-Dichloropropene | <0.50 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| t-1,3-Dichloropropene | <0.50 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| Ethylbenzene | <0.50 | 0.14 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| Methylene Chloride | <1.0 | 0.64 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | <5.0 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 10 | 1 | | U |
| Styrene | <0.50 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | <0.50 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | <0.50 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| Tetrachloroethene | <0.50 | 0.39 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| Toluene | <0.50 | 0.24 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | <1.0 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene | <0.50 | 0.32 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |



| Environmental Science Internation | Date | Received: | 10/25/13 | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------------|--|
| 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 | | Work | Order: | 13-10-1893 | | | |
| Kailua, HI 96734-2500 | Prepa | aration: | | | EPA 5030C | | |
| | | Meth | od: | | | GC/MS / EPA 8260B | |
| | | Units | : | | | ug/L | |
| Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 | | | | | | Page 2 of 10 | |
| Parameter | Result | DL | LOD | LOQ | DF | Qualifiers | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| Trichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | <1.0 | 0.64 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 1 | U | |
| Vinyl Chloride | <0.50 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| p/m-Xylene | <1.0 | 0.30 | 1.0 | 10 | 1 | U | |
| o-Xylene | <0.50 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE) | <0.50 | 0.31 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| Gasoline Range Organics | 24 | 13 | 30 | 50 | 1 | B,J | |
| Surrogate | <u>Rec. (%)</u> | Control Limits | Qualifiers | | | | |
| Dibromofluoromethane | 114 | 80-126 | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 114 | 80-134 | | | | | |
| Toluene-d8 | 97 | 80-120 | | | | | |
| Toluene-d8-TPPH | 98 | 88-112 | | | | | |
| 1,4-Bromofluorobenzene | 89 | 80-120 | | | | | |



| Date Received: | 10/25/13 |
|----------------|-------------------|
| Work Order: | 13-10-1893 |
| Preparation: | EPA 5030C |
| Method: | GC/MS / EPA 8260B |
| Units: | ug/L |
| | Page 3 of 10 |

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

| Client Sample Number | Lab Sample Number | Date/Time Collected | Matrix | Instrument | Date Prepared | Date/Time Analyzed | QC Batch ID |
|---|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| ES043 | 13-10-1893-2-A | 10/23/13 07:45 | Aqueous | GC/MS LL | 10/26/13 | 10/26/13 19:40 | 131026L01 |
| Comment(s): - Results were evaluated to | the MDL (DL), cond | centrations >= to | o the MDL (DL |) but < RL (LOG |), if found, are | qualified with a | "J" flag. |
| Parameter | Result | DL | LOD | LOC | <u>)</u> <u>C</u> | <u>)F</u> | Qualifiers |
| Acetone | 44 | 6.0 | 10 | 20 | 1 | | ICH |
| Benzene | <0.50 | 0.14 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| Bromodichloromethane | <0.50 | 0.21 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| Bromoform | <1.0 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 10 | 1 | | U |
| Bromomethane | <5.0 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 20 | 1 | | U |
| 2-Butanone | <5.0 | 2.2 | 5.0 | 10 | 1 | | U,ICH |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | <0.50 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| Chlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| Chloroethane | <5.0 | 2.3 | 5.0 | 10 | 1 | | U |
| Chloroform | <0.50 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| Chloromethane | <2.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 10 | 1 | | U,IJ |
| Dibromochloromethane | <0.50 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | <2.0 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 10 | 1 | | U |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | <0.50 | 0.36 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.28 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.24 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| c-1,2-Dichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| t-1,2-Dichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | <0.50 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| c-1,3-Dichloropropene | <0.50 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| t-1,3-Dichloropropene | <0.50 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| Ethylbenzene | <0.50 | 0.14 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| Methylene Chloride | <1.0 | 0.64 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | <5.0 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 10 | 1 | | U |
| Styrene | <0.50 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | <0.50 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | <0.50 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| Tetrachloroethene | <0.50 | 0.39 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| Toluene | <0.50 | 0.24 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | <1.0 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene | <0.50 | 0.32 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |

Return to Contents



| Environmental Science Internation | Date | Received: | 10/25/13 | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|------------|-------------------|--------------|--|
| 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 | | Work | Order: | 13-10-1893 | | | |
| Kailua, HI 96734-2500 | Prepa | aration: | | | EPA 5030C | | |
| | Meth | od: | | | GC/MS / EPA 8260B | | |
| | Units: | | | | | ug/L | |
| Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 | | | | | | Page 4 of 10 | |
| Parameter | <u>Result</u> | DL | LOD | LOQ | DF | Qualifiers | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| Trichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | <1.0 | 0.64 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 1 | U | |
| Vinyl Chloride | <0.50 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| p/m-Xylene | <1.0 | 0.30 | 1.0 | 10 | 1 | U | |
| o-Xylene | <0.50 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE) | <0.50 | 0.31 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| Gasoline Range Organics | 17 | 13 | 30 | 50 | 1 | B,J | |
| Surrogate | <u>Rec. (%)</u> | Control Limits | Qualifiers | | | | |
| Dibromofluoromethane | 100 | 80-126 | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 104 | 80-134 | | | | | |
| Toluene-d8 | 99 | 80-120 | | | | | |
| Toluene-d8-TPPH | 100 | 88-112 | | | | | |
| 1,4-Bromofluorobenzene | 87 | 80-120 | | | | | |



| Date Received: | 10/25/13 |
|----------------|-------------------|
| Work Order: | 13-10-1893 |
| Preparation: | EPA 5030C |
| Method: | GC/MS / EPA 8260B |
| Units: | ug/L |
| | Page 5 of 10 |

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

| Client Sample Number | Lab Sample Number | Date/Time Collected | Matrix | Instrument | Date Prepared | Date/Time Analyzed | QC Batch ID |
|--|----------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| ES044 | 13-10-1893-3-A | 10/23/13 08:30 | Aqueous | GC/MS LL | 10/26/13 | 10/26/13 21:28 | 131026L01 |
| Comment(s): - Results were evaluated t | o the MDL (DL), con | centrations >= t | the MDL (DL | _) but < RL (LOC | Q), if found, are | qualified with a | a "J" flag. |
| Parameter | <u>Result</u> | DL | LOD | LOC | <u>a 2</u> | <u>F</u> | <u>Qualifiers</u> |
| Acetone | 38 | 6.0 | 10 | 20 | 1 | | ICH |
| Benzene | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | J |
| Bromodichloromethane | <0.50 | 0.21 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| Bromoform | <1.0 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 10 | 1 | | U |
| Bromomethane | <5.0 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 20 | 1 | | U |
| 2-Butanone | <5.0 | 2.2 | 5.0 | 10 | 1 | | U,ICH |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | <0.50 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| Chlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| Chloroethane | <5.0 | 2.3 | 5.0 | 10 | 1 | | U |
| Chloroform | <0.50 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| Chloromethane | <2.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 10 | 1 | | U,IJ |
| Dibromochloromethane | <0.50 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | <2.0 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 10 | 1 | | U |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | <0.50 | 0.36 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.28 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.24 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| c-1,2-Dichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| t-1,2-Dichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | <0.50 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| c-1,3-Dichloropropene | <0.50 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| t-1,3-Dichloropropene | <0.50 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| Ethylbenzene | <0.50 | 0.14 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| Methylene Chloride | <1.0 | 0.64 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | <5.0 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 10 | 1 | | U |
| Styrene | <0.50 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | <0.50 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | <0.50 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| Tetrachloroethene | <0.50 | 0.39 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| Toluene | <0.50 | 0.24 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | <1.0 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U |
| Hexachloro-1.3-Butadiene | < 0.50 | 0.32 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U |



| Environmental Science Internationa | Date | Received: | 10/25/13 | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|------------|-------------------|--------------|--|
| 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 | | Work | Order: | 13-10-1893 | | | |
| Kailua, HI 96734-2500 | Prepa | aration: | | | EPA 5030C | | |
| | Meth | od: | | | GC/MS / EPA 8260B | | |
| | Units: | | | | | ug/L | |
| Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 | | | | | | Page 6 of 10 | |
| Parameter | <u>Result</u> | DL | LOD | LOQ | DF | Qualifiers | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| Trichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | <1.0 | 0.64 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 1 | U | |
| Vinyl Chloride | <0.50 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| p/m-Xylene | <1.0 | 0.30 | 1.0 | 10 | 1 | U | |
| o-Xylene | <0.50 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE) | <0.50 | 0.31 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| Gasoline Range Organics | 14 | 13 | 30 | 50 | 1 | B,J | |
| Surrogate | <u>Rec. (%)</u> | Control Limits | Qualifiers | | | | |
| Dibromofluoromethane | 100 | 80-126 | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 102 | 80-134 | | | | | |
| Toluene-d8 | 96 | 80-120 | | | | | |
| Toluene-d8-TPPH | 97 | 88-112 | | | | | |
| 1,4-Bromofluorobenzene | 86 | 80-120 | | | | | |



| Date Received: | 10/25/13 |
|----------------|-------------------|
| Work Order: | 13-10-1893 |
| Preparation: | EPA 5030C |
| Method: | GC/MS / EPA 8260B |
| Units: | ug/L |
| | Page 7 of 10 |

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

| Client Sample Number | Lab Sample Date/Time Matrix In Number Collected | | Instrument | Date Prepared | Date/Time Analyzed | QC Batch ID | | |
|--|--|-------------------|------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| E\$045 | 13-10-1893-4-A | 10/23/13 09:30 | Aqueous | GC/MS LL | 10/26/13 | 10/26/13 21:55 | 131026L01 | |
| Comment(s): - Results were evaluated to the MDL (DL), concentrations >= to the MDL (DL) but < RL (LOQ), if found, are qualified with a "J" flag. | | | | | | | | |
| Parameter | Result | DL | LOD | LOC | | <u>DF</u> | <u>Qualifiers</u> | |
| Acetone | <10 | 6.0 | 10 | 20 | 1 | | U,ICH | |
| Benzene | <0.50 | 0.14 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U | |
| Bromodichloromethane | <0.50 | 0.21 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U | |
| Bromoform | <1.0 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 10 | 1 | | U | |
| Bromomethane | <5.0 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 20 | 1 | | U | |
| 2-Butanone | <5.0 | 2.2 | 5.0 | 10 | 1 | | U,ICH | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | <0.50 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U | |
| Chlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U | |
| Chloroethane | <5.0 | 2.3 | 5.0 | 10 | 1 | | U | |
| Chloroform | <0.50 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U | |
| Chloromethane | <2.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 10 | 1 | | U,IJ | |
| Dibromochloromethane | <0.50 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U | |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | <2.0 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 10 | 1 | | U | |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | <0.50 | 0.36 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.28 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.24 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U | |
| c-1,2-Dichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U | |
| t-1,2-Dichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | <0.50 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U | |
| c-1,3-Dichloropropene | <0.50 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U | |
| t-1,3-Dichloropropene | <0.50 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U | |
| Ethylbenzene | <0.50 | 0.14 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U | |
| Methylene Chloride | <1.0 | 0.64 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 1 | | U | |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | <5.0 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 10 | 1 | | U | |
| Styrene | <0.50 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | <0.50 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | <0.50 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U | |
| Tetrachloroethene | <0.50 | 0.39 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U | |
| Toluene | <0.50 | 0.24 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U | |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | <1.0 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 1 | | U | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 5.0 | 1 | | U | |
| Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene | <0.50 | 0.32 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | | U | |

Return to Contents



| Environmental Science Internatio | nal, Inc. | Date | Received: | | 10/25/13 | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|-----|------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 | Work | Order: | | | 13-10-1893 | | | |
| Kailua, HI 96734-2500 | | Prepa | aration: | | | EPA 5030C | | |
| | | Meth | od: | | (| GC/MS / EPA 8260B | | |
| | | Units | : | | | ua/L | | |
| Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 | | | | | | Page 8 of 10 | | |
| Parameter | <u>Result</u> | DL | LOD | LOQ | DF | Qualifiers | | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | | |
| Trichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | | |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | <1.0 | 0.64 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 1 | U | | |
| Vinyl Chloride | <0.50 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | | |
| p/m-Xylene | <1.0 | 0.30 | 1.0 | 10 | 1 | U | | |
| o-Xylene | <0.50 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | | |
| Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE) | <0.50 | 0.31 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | | |
| Gasoline Range Organics | 15 | 13 | 30 | 50 | 1 | B,J | | |
| Surrogate | <u>Rec. (%)</u> | Control Limits | Qualifiers | | | | | |
| Dibromofluoromethane | 105 | 80-126 | | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 104 | 80-134 | | | | | | |
| Toluene-d8 | 98 | 80-120 | | | | | | |
| Toluene-d8-TPPH | 98 | 88-112 | | | | | | |
| 1,4-Bromofluorobenzene | 87 | 80-120 | | | | | | |



| Environmental Science International, Inc. | |
|---|--|
| 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 | |
| Kailua, HI 96734-2500 | |

| 10/25/13 |
|-------------------|
| 13-10-1893 |
| EPA 5030C |
| GC/MS / EPA 8260B |
| ug/L |
| Page 9 of 10 |
| |

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

| Client Sample Number | Lab Sample Number | Date/Time Collected | Matrix | Instrument | Date Prepared | Date/Time Analyzed | QC Batch ID |
|---|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Method Blank | 099-13-057-27 | N/A | Aqueous | GC/MS LL | 10/26/13 | 10/26/13 14:17 | 131026L01 |
| Comment(s): - Results were evaluated to | the MDL (DL), cor | centrations >= t | o the MDL (DL | _) but < RL (LOC | Q), if found, are | e qualified with | a "J" flag. |
| Parameter | Result | DL | LOD | LOC | <u>2</u> | <u>DF</u> | Qualifiers |
| Acetone | <10 | 6.0 | 10 | 20 | | 1 | U |
| Benzene | <0.50 | 0.14 | 0.50 | 1.0 | | 1 | U |
| Bromodichloromethane | <0.50 | 0.21 | 0.50 | 5.0 | | 1 | U |
| Bromoform | <1.0 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 10 | | 1 | U |
| Bromomethane | <5.0 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 20 | | 1 | U |
| 2-Butanone | <5.0 | 2.2 | 5.0 | 10 | | 1 | U |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | <0.50 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 1.0 | | 1 | U |
| Chlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 5.0 | | 1 | U |
| Chloroethane | <5.0 | 2.3 | 5.0 | 10 | | 1 | U |
| Chloroform | <0.50 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 5.0 | | 1 | U |
| Chloromethane | <2.0 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 10 | | 1 | U |
| Dibromochloromethane | <0.50 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.0 | | 1 | U |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | <2.0 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 10 | | 1 | U |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | <0.50 | 0.36 | 0.50 | 1.0 | | 1 | U |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 1.0 | | 1 | U |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 1.0 | | 1 | U |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | <0.50 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 1.0 | | 1 | U |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.28 | 0.50 | 5.0 | | 1 | U |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.24 | 0.50 | 1.0 | | 1 | U |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.43 | 0.50 | 1.0 | | 1 | U |
| c-1,2-Dichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 1.0 | | 1 | U |
| t-1,2-Dichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 1.0 | | 1 | U |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | <0.50 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 5.0 | | 1 | U |
| c-1,3-Dichloropropene | <0.50 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.0 | | 1 | U |
| t-1,3-Dichloropropene | <0.50 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.0 | | 1 | U |
| Ethylbenzene | <0.50 | 0.14 | 0.50 | 1.0 | | 1 | U |
| Methylene Chloride | <1.0 | 0.64 | 1.0 | 5.0 | | 1 | U |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | <5.0 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 10 | | 1 | U |
| Styrene | <0.50 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 1.0 | | 1 | U |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | <0.50 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 1.0 | | 1 | U |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | <0.50 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 1.0 | | 1 | U |
| Tetrachloroethene | <0.50 | 0.39 | 0.50 | 5.0 | | 1 | U |
| Toluene | <0.50 | 0.24 | 0.50 | 1.0 | | 1 | U |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | <1.0 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 5.0 | | 1 | U |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 5.0 | | 1 | U |
| Hexachloro-1.3-Butadiene | <0.50 | 0.32 | 0.50 | 1.0 | | 1 | U |

Return to Contents



| Environmental Science Internation | Date | Received: | 10/25/13 | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|------------|----|-------------------|--|
| 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 | | Work | Order: | 13-10-1893 | | | |
| Kailua, HI 96734-2500 | | Prepa | aration: | | | EPA 5030C | |
| | | Meth | od: | | | GC/MS / EPA 8260B | |
| | | Units | : | | | ug/L | |
| Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 | | | | | | Page 10 of 10 | |
| Parameter | <u>Result</u> | DL | LOD | LOQ | DF | Qualifiers | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | <0.50 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| Trichloroethene | <0.50 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | <1.0 | 0.64 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 1 | U | |
| Vinyl Chloride | <0.50 | 0.30 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| p/m-Xylene | <1.0 | 0.30 | 1.0 | 10 | 1 | U | |
| o-Xylene | <0.50 | 0.23 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE) | <0.50 | 0.31 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1 | U | |
| Gasoline Range Organics | 21 | 13 | 30 | 50 | 1 | J | |
| Surrogate | <u>Rec. (%)</u> | Control Limits | Qualifiers | | | | |
| Dibromofluoromethane | 100 | 80-126 | | | | | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 97 | 80-134 | | | | | |
| Toluene-d8 | 98 | 80-120 | | | | | |
| Toluene-d8-TPPH | 98 | 88-112 | | | | | |
| 1,4-Bromofluorobenzene | 90 | 80-120 | | | | | |



Quality Control - Spike/Spike Duplicate

| Environmental Science International, Inc. | Date Received: | 10/25/13 |
|---|----------------|---------------|
| 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 | Work Order: | 13-10-1893 |
| Kailua, HI 96734-2500 | Preparation: | EPA 3510C |
| | Method: | EPA 8015B (M) |
| Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 | | Page 1 of 5 |

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

| Quality Control Sample ID | | Matrix | | Instrument | Date Prepared | | Date Analyzed | MS/MSD Batch Number | | Number |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| ES043 | | Aqueous | ; | GC 46 | 10/28/13 | ; | 10/29/13 07:25 | 131 | 028S12 | |
| Parameter | <u>Sample</u> Conc. | <u>Spike</u> Added | <u>MS</u> Conc. | <u>MS</u> %Rec. | <u>MSD</u> Conc. | <u>MSD</u> %Rec. | <u>%Rec. CL</u> | <u>RPD</u> | <u>RPD CL</u> | <u>Qualifiers</u> |
| TPH as Diesel | 167.4 | 4000 | 4384 | 105 | 4150 | 100 | 55-133 | 5 | 0-30 | |

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits



Quality Control - Spike/Spike Duplicate

| Environmental Science International, Inc. | Date Received: | 10/25/13 |
|---|----------------|-----------------|
| 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 | Work Order: | 13-10-1893 |
| Kailua, HI 96734-2500 | Preparation: | EPA 3020A Total |
| | Method: | EPA 6020 |
| Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 | | Page 2 of 5 |

| Project: Red Hill LTM 11206 | 6 |
|-----------------------------|---|
|-----------------------------|---|

| Quality Control Sample ID | Matrix | | | Instrument | Date Prepared | | Date Analyzed | MS/ | MS/MSD Batch Numbe | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| ES043 | | Aqueous | ; | ICP/MS 04 | 10/25/13 | ; | 10/26/13 04:18 | 131 | 025S03 | |
| Parameter | <u>Sample</u> Conc. | <u>Spike</u> Added | <u>MS</u> Conc. | <u>MS</u> %Rec. | <u>MSD</u> Conc. | <u>MSD</u> %Rec. | <u>%Rec. CL</u> | <u>RPD</u> | <u>RPD CL</u> | <u>Qualifiers</u> |
| Lead | ND | 100.0 | 105.0 | 105 | 110.0 | 110 | 80-120 | 5 | 0-20 | |

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits



Quality Control - Spike/Spike Duplicate

| Environmental Science International, Inc. | Date Received: | 10/25/13 | | | |
|---|----------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 | Work Order: | 13-10-1893 | | | |
| Kailua, HI 96734-2500 | Preparation: | EPA 3510C | | | |
| | Method: | EPA 8270C SIM PAHs | | | |
| Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 | | Page 3 of 5 | | | |

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

| Quality Control Sample ID | | Matrix | | Instrument | Date Prepared | | Date Analyzed | e Analyzed MS/MS | | Number |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------|-------------------|
| ES043 | | Aqueous | | GC/MS AAA | 10/25/13 | | 10/28/13 19:12 | 131 | 025S05 | |
| Parameter | <u>Sample</u> Conc. | <u>Spike</u> Added | <u>MS</u> Conc. | <u>MS</u> %Rec. | MSD Conc. | <u>MSD</u> %Rec. | <u>%Rec. CL</u> | <u>RPD</u> | RPD CL | <u>Qualifiers</u> |
| Naphthalene | ND | 2.000 | 1.660 | 83 | 1.636 | 82 | 21-133 | 1 | 0-25 | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 2.000 | 1.801 | 90 | 1.739 | 87 | 21-140 | 3 | 0-25 | |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | ND | 2.000 | 1.618 | 81 | 1.576 | 79 | 20-140 | 3 | 0-25 | |
| Acenaphthylene | ND | 2.000 | 1.533 | 77 | 1.535 | 77 | 33-145 | 0 | 0-25 | |
| Acenaphthene | ND | 2.000 | 1.476 | 74 | 1.476 | 74 | 49-121 | 0 | 0-25 | |
| Fluorene | ND | 2.000 | 1.582 | 79 | 1.567 | 78 | 59-121 | 1 | 0-25 | |
| Phenanthrene | ND | 2.000 | 1.494 | 75 | 1.472 | 74 | 54-120 | 1 | 0-25 | |
| Anthracene | ND | 2.000 | 1.298 | 65 | 1.286 | 64 | 27-133 | 1 | 0-25 | |
| Fluoranthene | ND | 2.000 | 1.610 | 80 | 1.593 | 80 | 26-137 | 1 | 0-25 | |
| Pyrene | ND | 2.000 | 1.439 | 72 | 1.419 | 71 | 18-168 | 1 | 0-25 | |
| Benzo (a) Anthracene | ND | 2.000 | 1.511 | 76 | 1.480 | 74 | 33-143 | 2 | 0-25 | |
| Chrysene | ND | 2.000 | 1.327 | 66 | 1.307 | 65 | 17-168 | 1 | 0-25 | |
| Benzo (k) Fluoranthene | ND | 2.000 | 1.616 | 81 | 1.501 | 75 | 24-159 | 7 | 0-25 | |
| Benzo (b) Fluoranthene | ND | 2.000 | 1.470 | 73 | 1.542 | 77 | 24-159 | 5 | 0-25 | |
| Benzo (a) Pyrene | ND | 2.000 | 1.635 | 82 | 1.617 | 81 | 17-163 | 1 | 0-25 | |
| Indeno (1,2,3-c,d) Pyrene | ND | 2.000 | 1.584 | 79 | 1.570 | 79 | 10-171 | 1 | 0-25 | |
| Dibenz (a,h) Anthracene | ND | 2.000 | 1.375 | 69 | 1.362 | 68 | 10-219 | 1 | 0-25 | |
| Benzo (g,h,i) Perylene | ND | 2.000 | 1.142 | 57 | 1.122 | 56 | 10-227 | 2 | 0-25 | |


| Environmental Science International, Inc. | Date Received: | 10/25/13 |
|---|----------------|-------------------|
| 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 | Work Order: | 13-10-1893 |
| Kailua, HI 96734-2500 | Preparation: | EPA 5030C |
| | Method: | GC/MS / EPA 8260B |
| Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 | | Page 4 of 5 |

| Quality Control Sample ID | | Matrix | | Instrument | Date Prep | bared | Date Analyzed | MS/ | MSD Batch | Number |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|
| ES043 | | Aqueous | | GC/MS LL | 10/26/13 | | 10/26/13 20:07 | 1310 | D26S02 | |
| Parameter | <u>Sample</u> Conc. | <u>Spike</u> Added | <u>MS</u> Conc. | <u>MS</u> %Rec. | <u>MSD</u> Conc. | <u>MSD</u> %Rec. | <u>%Rec. CL</u> | <u>RPD</u> | <u>RPD CL</u> | <u>Qualifiers</u> |
| Acetone | 43.53 | 50.00 | 145.0 | 203 | 204.7 | 322 | 40-140 | 34 | 0-20 | 3,4 |
| Benzene | ND | 50.00 | 47.54 | 95 | 43.23 | 86 | 80-120 | 9 | 0-20 | |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND | 50.00 | 46.88 | 94 | 43.41 | 87 | 75-120 | 8 | 0-20 | |
| Bromoform | ND | 50.00 | 49.11 | 98 | 45.86 | 92 | 70-130 | 7 | 0-20 | |
| Bromomethane | ND | 50.00 | 55.71 | 111 | 51.36 | 103 | 30-145 | 8 | 0-20 | |
| 2-Butanone | ND | 50.00 | 57.88 | 116 | 53.08 | 106 | 30-150 | 9 | 0-20 | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | ND | 50.00 | 49.98 | 100 | 45.05 | 90 | 65-140 | 10 | 0-20 | |
| Chlorobenzene | ND | 50.00 | 45.86 | 92 | 42.14 | 84 | 80-120 | 8 | 0-20 | |
| Chloroethane | ND | 50.00 | 47.66 | 95 | 43.21 | 86 | 60-135 | 10 | 0-20 | |
| Chloroform | ND | 50.00 | 50.13 | 100 | 45.55 | 91 | 65-135 | 10 | 0-20 | |
| Chloromethane | ND | 50.00 | 40.56 | 81 | 39.56 | 79 | 40-125 | 2 | 0-20 | |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND | 50.00 | 50.89 | 102 | 46.09 | 92 | 60-135 | 10 | 0-20 | |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | ND | 50.00 | 43.97 | 88 | 41.13 | 82 | 50-130 | 7 | 0-20 | |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | ND | 50.00 | 50.40 | 101 | 45.04 | 90 | 80-120 | 11 | 0-20 | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 50.00 | 48.30 | 97 | 43.80 | 88 | 70-120 | 10 | 0-20 | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 50.00 | 46.19 | 92 | 42.52 | 85 | 75-125 | 8 | 0-20 | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND | 50.00 | 46.72 | 93 | 43.18 | 86 | 75-125 | 8 | 0-20 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND | 50.00 | 52.67 | 105 | 47.29 | 95 | 70-135 | 11 | 0-20 | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND | 50.00 | 49.82 | 100 | 46.41 | 93 | 70-130 | 7 | 0-20 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND | 50.00 | 50.09 | 100 | 46.19 | 92 | 70-130 | 8 | 0-20 | |
| c-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 50.00 | 48.26 | 97 | 44.60 | 89 | 70-125 | 8 | 0-20 | |
| t-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND | 50.00 | 49.15 | 98 | 45.06 | 90 | 60-140 | 9 | 0-20 | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND | 50.00 | 47.03 | 94 | 42.92 | 86 | 75-125 | 9 | 0-20 | |
| c-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 50.00 | 53.83 | 108 | 49.65 | 99 | 70-130 | 8 | 0-20 | |
| t-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND | 50.00 | 42.46 | 85 | 39.53 | 79 | 55-140 | 7 | 0-20 | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 50.00 | 54.85 | 110 | 48.93 | 98 | 75-125 | 11 | 0-20 | |
| Methylene Chloride | ND | 50.00 | 53.29 | 107 | 47.71 | 95 | 55-140 | 11 | 0-20 | |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | ND | 50.00 | 48.13 | 96 | 46.08 | 92 | 60-135 | 4 | 0-20 | |
| Styrene | ND | 50.00 | 51.22 | 102 | 46.90 | 94 | 65-135 | 9 | 0-20 | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 50.00 | 50.13 | 100 | 44.77 | 90 | 80-130 | 11 | 0-20 | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND | 50.00 | 1.149 | 2 | 0.9227 | 2 | 65-130 | 22 | 0-20 | 3,4 |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND | 50.00 | 86.60 | 173 | 78.03 | 156 | 45-150 | 10 | 0-20 | 3 |
| Toluene | ND | 50.00 | 53.04 | 106 | 47.64 | 95 | 75-120 | 11 | 0-20 | |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND | 50.00 | 47.77 | 96 | 45.07 | 90 | 65-135 | 6 | 0-20 | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND | 50.00 | 51.58 | 103 | 45.76 | 92 | 65-130 | 12 | 0-20 | |
| Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene | ND | 50.00 | 47.04 | 94 | 43.61 | 87 | 50-140 | 8 | 0-20 | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND | 50.00 | 44.40 | 89 | 39.64 | 79 | 75-125 | 11 | 0-20 | |

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits



| Environmental Science International, Inc. Da | | | | Date F | Date Received: 10, | | | | | 10/25/13 |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|-------------------|
| 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 | | | | Work | Order: | | | | 1 | 3-10-1893 |
| Kailua, HI 96734-2500 | | | | Prepa | ration: | | | | E | PA 5030C |
| | | | | Metho | d: | | | C | GC/MS / E | PA 8260B |
| Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 | | | | | | Page | 5 of 5 | | | |
| Parameter | <u>Sample</u> <u>Conc.</u> | <u>Spike</u> Added | <u>MS</u> Conc. | <u>MS</u> <u>%Rec.</u> | <u>MSD</u> Conc. | <u>MSD</u> <u>%Rec.</u> | <u>%Rec. CL</u> | <u>RPD</u> | RPD CL | <u>Qualifiers</u> |
| Trichloroethene | ND | 50.00 | 90.08 | 180 | 81.87 | 164 | 70-125 | 10 | 0-20 | 3 |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | ND | 50.00 | 50.56 | 101 | 44.91 | 90 | 75-125 | 12 | 0-20 | |
| Vinyl Chloride | ND | 50.00 | 46.70 | 93 | 43.16 | 86 | 50-145 | 8 | 0-20 | |
| p/m-Xylene | ND | 100.0 | 113.3 | 113 | 102.9 | 103 | 75-130 | 10 | 0-20 | |
| o-Xylene | ND | 50.00 | 55.89 | 112 | 50.75 | 101 | 80-120 | 10 | 0-20 | |
| Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE) | ND | 50.00 | 50.32 | 101 | 47.66 | 95 | 65-125 | 5 | 0-20 | |

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits



| Environmental Science International, Inc. | Date Received: | 10/25/13 |
|---|----------------|-----------------|
| 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 | Work Order: | 13-10-1893 |
| Kailua, HI 96734-2500 | Preparation: | EPA 3020A Total |
| | Method: | EPA 6020 |
| Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 | | Page 1 of 1 |

| Quality Control Sample ID | Matrix | Instrument | Date Prepare | d Date Analyz | ed F | PDS/PDSD Batch Number |
|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| ES043 | Aqueous | ICP/MS 04 | 10/25/13 00:0 | 00 10/26/13 04 | :31 1 | I31025S03 |
| Parameter | Sample Conc. | Spike Added | PDS Conc. | <u>PDS %Rec.</u> | <u>%Rec.</u> | <u>CL</u> <u>Qualifiers</u> |
| Lead | ND | 100.0 | 105.8 | 106 | 75-125 | 5 |

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits



| Environmental Science International, Inc. | Date Received: | 10/25/13 |
|---|----------------|---------------|
| 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 | Work Order: | 13-10-1893 |
| Kailua, HI 96734-2500 | Preparation: | EPA 3510C |
| | Method: | EPA 8015B (M) |
| Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 | | Page 1 of 5 |

| Quality Control Sample ID | | Matrix | | Instrument | Date Prepare | ed Date A | nalyzed | LCS/LCSD Bat | tch Number |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|
| 099-15-516-65 | | Aqueous | | GC 46 | 10/28/13 | 10/29/1 | 3 06:52 | 131028B12 | |
| Parameter | <u>Spike</u> Added | <u>LCS</u> Conc. | <u>LCS</u> %Rec. | LCSD Conc. | <u>LCSD</u> %Rec. | <u>%Rec. CL</u> | <u>RPD</u> | RPD CL | <u>Qualifiers</u> |
| TPH as Diesel | 4000 | 3785 | 95 | 3983 | 100 | 60-132 | 5 | 0-11 | |

alscience

| Quality Control Sample ID | Matrix Instrument | | Date Analyz | ed | LCS Batch Number |
|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|
| 099-14-497-47 | Aqueous | ICP/MS 04 | 10/26/13 04 | :15 | 131025L03D |
| Parameter | Spike Added | Conc. Recovered | LCS %Rec. | <u>%Rec.</u> | CL Qualifiers |
| Lead | 100.0 | 100.8 | 101 | 80-120 | |

Page 27 of 36

Page 2 of 5

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits

Return to Contents

alscience nvironmental aboratories, Inc.

| Environmental Science International, Inc. | Date Received: | 10/25/13 |
|---|----------------|--------------------|
| 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 | Work Order: | 13-10-1893 |
| Kailua, HI 96734-2500 | Preparation: | EPA 3510C |
| | Method: | EPA 8270C SIM PAHs |
| Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 | | Page 3 of 5 |

Quality Control - LCS

Project: Red Hill LTM 112066

| Quality Control Sample ID | Matrix | Instrument | Date Analyz | ed LCS Batch N | umber |
|---------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|------------|
| 099-15-148-20 | Aqueous | GC/MS AAA | 10/28/13 14 | 32 131025L05 | |
| Parameter | Spike Added | Conc. Recovered | LCS %Rec. | <u>%Rec. CL</u> | Jualifiers |
| Naphthalene | 2.000 | 1.639 | 82 | 21-133 | |
| 2-Methylnaphthalene | 2.000 | 1.795 | 90 | 21-140 | |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | 2.000 | 1.668 | 83 | 20-140 | |
| Acenaphthylene | 2.000 | 1.507 | 75 | 33-145 | |
| Acenaphthene | 2.000 | 1.504 | 75 | 55-121 | |
| Fluorene | 2.000 | 1.553 | 78 | 59-121 | |
| Phenanthrene | 2.000 | 1.505 | 75 | 54-120 | |
| Anthracene | 2.000 | 1.380 | 69 | 27-133 | |
| Fluoranthene | 2.000 | 1.601 | 80 | 26-137 | |
| Pyrene | 2.000 | 1.482 | 74 | 45-129 | |
| Benzo (a) Anthracene | 2.000 | 1.486 | 74 | 33-143 | |
| Chrysene | 2.000 | 1.398 | 70 | 17-168 | |
| Benzo (k) Fluoranthene | 2.000 | 1.609 | 80 | 24-159 | |
| Benzo (b) Fluoranthene | 2.000 | 1.454 | 73 | 24-159 | |
| Benzo (a) Pyrene | 2.000 | 1.522 | 76 | 17-163 | |
| Indeno (1,2,3-c,d) Pyrene | 2.000 | 1.469 | 73 | 25-175 | |
| Dibenz (a,h) Anthracene | 2.000 | 1.259 | 63 | 25-175 | |
| Benzo (g,h,i) Perylene | 2.000 | 1.104 | 55 | 25-157 | |

| Environmental Science International, Inc. | Date Received: | 10/25/13 |
|---|----------------|-------------------|
| 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 | Work Order: | 13-10-1893 |
| Kailua, HI 96734-2500 | Preparation: | EPA 5030C |
| | Method: | GC/MS / EPA 8260B |
| Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 | | Page 4 of 5 |

| Quality Control Sample ID | | Matrix | | Instrument | Date Prepare | ed Date A | nalyzed | LCS/LCSD Bat | ch Number |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|
| 099-13-057-27 | | Aqueous | | GC/MS LL | 10/26/13 | 10/26/1 | 3 12:55 | 131026L01 | |
| Parameter | <u>Spike</u> Added | <u>LCS</u> Conc. | <u>LCS</u> <u>%Rec.</u> | LCSD Conc. | <u>LCSD</u> %Rec. | <u>%Rec. CL</u> | <u>RPD</u> | <u>RPD CL</u> | <u>Qualifiers</u> |
| Acetone | 50.00 | 64.44 | 129 | N/A | N/A | 40-140 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| Benzene | 50.00 | 43.29 | 87 | N/A | N/A | 80-120 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| Bromodichloromethane | 50.00 | 43.40 | 87 | N/A | N/A | 75-120 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| Bromoform | 50.00 | 46.58 | 93 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| Bromomethane | 50.00 | 52.24 | 104 | N/A | N/A | 30-145 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| 2-Butanone | 50.00 | 53.70 | 107 | N/A | N/A | 30-150 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | 50.00 | 44.68 | 89 | N/A | N/A | 65-140 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| Chlorobenzene | 50.00 | 41.74 | 83 | N/A | N/A | 80-120 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| Chloroethane | 50.00 | 42.64 | 85 | N/A | N/A | 60-135 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| Chloroform | 50.00 | 44.47 | 89 | N/A | N/A | 65-135 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| Chloromethane | 50.00 | 37.91 | 76 | N/A | N/A | 40-125 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| Dibromochloromethane | 50.00 | 45.85 | 92 | N/A | N/A | 60-135 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | 50.00 | 47.48 | 95 | N/A | N/A | 50-130 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | 50.00 | 43.52 | 87 | N/A | N/A | 80-120 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 50.00 | 43.54 | 87 | N/A | N/A | 70-120 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 50.00 | 44.16 | 88 | N/A | N/A | 75-125 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 50.00 | 44.28 | 89 | N/A | N/A | 75-125 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 50.00 | 46.99 | 94 | N/A | N/A | 70-135 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 50.00 | 43.84 | 88 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 50.00 | 41.87 | 84 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| c-1,2-Dichloroethene | 50.00 | 45.17 | 90 | N/A | N/A | 70-125 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| t-1,2-Dichloroethene | 50.00 | 43.39 | 87 | N/A | N/A | 60-140 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 50.00 | 43.00 | 86 | N/A | N/A | 75-125 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| c-1,3-Dichloropropene | 50.00 | 52.08 | 104 | N/A | N/A | 70-130 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| t-1,3-Dichloropropene | 50.00 | 40.53 | 81 | N/A | N/A | 55-140 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| Ethylbenzene | 50.00 | 49.12 | 98 | N/A | N/A | 75-125 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| Methylene Chloride | 50.00 | 46.60 | 93 | N/A | N/A | 55-140 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 50.00 | 48.20 | 96 | N/A | N/A | 60-135 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| Styrene | 50.00 | 45.75 | 92 | N/A | N/A | 65-135 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | 50.00 | 45.15 | 90 | N/A | N/A | 80-130 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 50.00 | 42.63 | 85 | N/A | N/A | 65-130 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| Tetrachloroethene | 50.00 | 46.11 | 92 | N/A | N/A | 45-150 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| Toluene | 50.00 | 47.22 | 94 | N/A | N/A | 75-120 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 50.00 | 48.26 | 97 | N/A | N/A | 65-135 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 50.00 | 45.68 | 91 | N/A | N/A | 65-130 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| Hexachloro-1,3-Butadiene | 50.00 | 44.51 | 89 | N/A | N/A | 50-140 | N/A | 0-20 | |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 50.00 | 45.53 | 91 | N/A | N/A | 75-125 | N/A | 0-20 | |

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits



| Environmental Science Interna | Date Rece | eived: | 10/25/13 | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 354 Uluniu Street, Suite 304 | | | | Work Ord | er: | | | 13-10-1893 | | | |
| Kailua, HI 96734-2500 | | | | Preparatio | on: | | | E | PA 5030C | | |
| | | | | Method: | | | | GC/MS / EPA 8260B | | | |
| Project: Red Hill LTM 112066 | | | | | | | | Page | 5 of 5 | | |
| Parameter | <u>Spike</u> Added | <u>LCS</u> Conc. | <u>LCS</u> <u>%Rec.</u> | LCSD Conc. | <u>LCSD</u> <u>%Rec.</u> | <u>%Rec. CL</u> | <u>RPD</u> | RPD CL | <u>Qualifiers</u> | | |
| Trichloroethene | 50.00 | 45.17 | 90 | N/A | N/A | 70-125 | N/A | 0-20 | | | |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | 50.00 | 43.40 | 87 | N/A | N/A | 75-125 | N/A | 0-20 | | | |
| Vinyl Chloride | 50.00 | 43.84 | 88 | N/A | N/A | 50-145 | N/A | 0-20 | | | |
| p/m-Xylene | 100.0 | 99.58 | 100 | N/A | N/A | 75-130 | N/A | 0-20 | | | |
| o-Xylene | 50.00 | 49.39 | 99 | N/A | N/A | 80-120 | N/A | 0-20 | | | |
| Methyl-t-Butyl Ether (MTBE) | 50.00 | 46.52 | 93 | N/A | N/A | 65-125 | N/A | 0-20 | | | |
| Gasoline Range Organics | 1000 | 1058 | 106 | 1093 | 109 | 80-120 | 3 | 0-20 | | | |

RPD: Relative Percent Difference. CL: Control Limits

Page 1 of 1



Work Order: 13-10-1893

| Method | Extraction | Chemist ID | Instrument | Analytical Location |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|---------------------|
| EPA 6020 | EPA 3020A Total | 598 | ICP/MS 04 | 1 |
| EPA 8015B (M) | EPA 3510C | 847 | GC 46 | 1 |
| EPA 8270C SIM PAHs | EPA 3510C | 773 | GC/MS AAA | 1 |
| GC/MS / EPA 8260B | EPA 5030C | 486 | GC/MS LL | 2 |

Location 1: 7440 Lincoln Way, Garden Grove, CA 92841 Location 2: 7445 Lampson Avenue, Garden Grove, CA 92841 alscience nvironmental aboratories, Inc.

Work Order: 13-10-1893

Page 1 of 1 Qualifiers Definition * See applicable analysis comment. Less than the indicated value. < Greater than the indicated value. > Surrogate compound recovery was out of control due to a required sample dilution. Therefore, the sample data was reported without further 1 clarification. 2 Surrogate compound recovery was out of control due to matrix interference. The associated method blank surrogate spike compound was in control and, therefore, the sample data was reported without further clarification. 3 Recovery of the Matrix Spike (MS) or Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD) compound was out of control due to suspected matrix interference. The associated LCS recovery was in control. 4 The MS/MSD RPD was out of control due to suspected matrix interference. The PDS/PDSD or PES/PESD associated with this batch of samples was out of control due to suspected matrix interference. 5 6 Surrogate recovery below the acceptance limit. 7 Surrogate recovery above the acceptance limit. В Analyte was present in the associated method blank. ΒU Sample analyzed after holding time expired. ΒV Sample received after holding time expired. The Detection Limit (DL) is the smallest analyte concentration that can be demonstrated to be different from zero or a blank concentration at DL the 99% level of confidence. Е Concentration exceeds the calibration range. ET Sample was extracted past end of recommended max. holding time. HD The chromatographic pattern was inconsistent with the profile of the reference fuel standard. ICH Initial calibration verification recovery is above the control limit for this analyte. ICJ Initial calibration verification recovery is below the control limit for this analyte. IH Calibration verification recovery is above the control limit for this analyte. IJ Calibration verification recovery is below the control limit for this analyte. Analyte was detected at a concentration below the LOQ and above the DL. Reported value is estimated. J JA Analyte positively identified but quantitation is an estimate. LOD The Limit of Detection (LOD) is the smallest amount or concentration of a substance that must be present in a sample in order to be detected at 99% confidence level. LOQ The Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) is the lowest concentration of a substance that produces a quantitative result within specified limits of precision and bias Spike recovery and RPD control limits do not apply resulting from the parameter concentration in the sample exceeding the spike Q concentration by a factor of four or greater. SG The sample extract was subjected to Silica Gel treatment prior to analysis. U Undetected at Detection Limit (DL) and is reported as less than the Limit of Detection (LOD). Х % Recovery and/or RPD out-of-range. Ζ Analyte presence was not confirmed by second column or GC/MS analysis. Solid - Unless otherwise indicated, solid sample data is reported on a wet weight basis, not corrected for % moisture. All QC results are reported on a wet weight basis.

Glossary of Terms and Qualifiers

Any parameter identified in 40CFR Part 136.3 Table II that is designated as "analyze immediately" with a holding time of <= 15 minutes (40CFR-136.3 Table II, footnote 4), is considered a "field" test and the reported results will be qualified as being received outside of the stated holding time unless received at the laboratory within 15 minutes of the collection time.

A calculated total result (Example: Total Pesticides) is the summation of each component concentration and/or, if "J" flags are reported, estimated concentration. Component concentrations showing not detected (ND) are summed into the calculated total result as zero concentrations.

| Calscience Environmental Laboratories, Inc. 7440 Lincoln Way, Garden Grove, CA 92841-1427 • (714) 895-5494 | | | | | | | | WO # / LAB USE ONLY | | | | | ()ate | CHA | AIN (| IN OF CUSTODY RECORD | | | | | RD | | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|----------------------|------------|------------|---------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|--|------|
| Other CA C For co | office locations: C urier service / san ntact <u>sales@calsc</u> | oncord and Sa nple drop off ir <u>dence.com</u> or | an Luis C Iformatio call us. | n, | | | | 1 | 3- | -10 |)-1 | 8 | 93 | 1 | f | Page_ | | | 1 | 0 | f | 1 | | | |
| LABORATORY CLIENT: ENVIRONMENTAL SUIC ADDRESS: | nce Int | omation | <u>val</u> | | | **** | | CLIE | INT PF | ROJEC | it nai fj{ | ME / N | UMBE | r: A | 112 | Ô | 66 | | P.O. N | 10.: | | | | | |
| CITY: Kailua H | <u>Dr. Jr.</u> 96- | <u>307</u> 734 | STATE: | ******** | | ZIP: | | PRC | JECT | cont he | ACT: | i ten | C) | " ∿∩ | | 4 | | | samp V | LER(S ST | -): (PR | INT) SLÍ | | - | |
| TEL 808-261-0740 RU | Hava PESI | ÊNCE I.CON | ;DFE | HER | OëH | Ia | KEL. | tom | | | | I | REC | 208 | EST | ED |) AI | VAI | _YS | šES |) ; | | | | |
| | 8 HR 72 | HR 🛣 S | TANDAR | D | | | | | | Pl€ | ease | chec | k box | or fi | ll in b | lank | as n | eede | d. | X | | | | | |
| COELT EDF GLOBAL ID | | | **** | | LOG | CODE | ****** | 0 | M | | | | | | a Core | | | | | 3020/747 | 6 | | | | |
| SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: | | | | | | | | 526 | 8 Z | 6-C44 | | | | | re 🗆 Ter | | | | 0 SIM | 747X 🗆 (| 9 🗆 218. | 2 | | | |
| | | Ň | | | ved | ġ | ered | BGR0 | | 6-C36 🗆 O | | TBE 🗆 826 | (60) | es (8260) | 15) 🗆 En Co | 3270) | s (8081) | 82) | 9276 × 827 | ls 🗆 6010/7 | 7196 🗆 719 | i a | | Ť | |
| LAB USE SAMPLE ID ONLY | SAMF DATE | PLING TIME | MATRIX | NO. OF CONT. | Unprese | Preserve | Field Filb | Х ТРН(9) | р)нат | ТРН П С | TPH | BTEX / M | VOCs (82 | Oxygenat | Prep (503 | svocs (| Pesticide: | PCBs (80 | PAHs E | T22 Meta | | 102 | - | | |
| 1 ES TRIP | 10/2312 | 0700 | Unley | 3 | | X | | X | | | Ś | XX | Х | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 85043 | 10/23/13 | 0745. | linter | 10 | X | X | X | \mathbf{X} | \mathbf{X} | | | X | X | | | | | | \ge | | ļ | X | | | |
| ESOI 13MB/MBD | 10/23/13 | 050745 | wes | W | X | \mathbf{X} | X | $ \times$ | X, | | | | X | | | | | | X | | | X | | | |
| 3 25044 | 10/22/13 | 0830 | trotes | 10 | <u>ک</u> ا | X | | 102 | \mathbf{X} | | | | X | | | | | | X | | | | | | |
| 4 25045 | 10/23/13 | 0930 | under . | 0 | $ \propto$ | × | | K | <u>×</u> | | | | \times | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | <u>.</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Relinquished by: (Signature) | Jerre- | | | R | eceive | d by: (: | Signat | L ture/Af | filiatio | n) | | | | | | <u> </u> | | Date | e: >]2 | 31) | 3 | Time |)) | 5 | |
| Relinquished by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) | | | | | Signat | ture/Ai | filiatio | n) | | | | | | | | Date | e: | 2/1 | | Time |) 2: | | | | |
| Relinquished by: (Signature) | | <u>(</u> 7 | eder) | R | eceive DA | d by: (3 | Signat | ture/At | filiatio (« | n) Ga | | | | | **** | | | Date 10 | e: >/2. | 5-/1: | 3 | Time /c | ≥.(] ≥. | ************************************** | |
| DISTRIBUTION: White with final | report, Green and | Yellow to Client | t. Ż | | .] | | V | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 11 | /01/12 | 2 Revi | sion |

4

٠

Please note that pages 1 and 2 of 2 of our T/Cs are printed on the reverse side of the Green and Yellow copies respectively.

Return to Contents

Page 34 of 36 FedEx Retrieval Copy Ē Z。 US Airbill 8531 6209 1939 0200 1897 From 4a Express Package Service Packages up to 150 lbs. * To most locations 6853945 Sender's FedEx 3 3 0/23/1 FedEx First Overnight Earliest next business morning delivery to select locational 1 FedEx Priority Overnight FedEx Standard Overnight 6 Date Account Number 5 508 2-61-0710 Sender's Name ion den XA 3 FedEx 2Day Second business day 20 FedEx Express Saver AndEx Envelope rate not available. Minimum charge: One yound rate International ne 4b Express Freight Service Chvirannenta CIE Packages over 150 lbs. ** To most locations 83 FedEx 3Day Freight 7 FedEx 1Day Freight* 8 FedEx 2Day Freight Suit 304 Ulunia Address * Call for Coolimation Dept/Ficor/Suito/Room etGarod value limit 350 ZIP 967 5 31 Packaging 八成 Othe State FedEx Envelope* 2 FedEx Pak* 3 Includes FedEx Small Pak, FedEx Large Pak, and FedEx Sturrly Pak. 3 FedEx 61 | 4 Box 2 Your Internal Billing Reference 8 **Special Handling** Include FedEx address in Section 3. 3) Weekday dEx Location deble for test Overnight To 31 HOLD Saturday at FedEx Location Available ONLY for FodEx Overnight and FodEx 20e Recipient's Sch N ods? 6 Dry Ice oclavation H 5014 10/03 \$160 2118 2292 #129 SHIP DATE: 230CT13 ACTWGT: 57.4 LB CAD: /POS1424 Cargo Aircraft Only ORIGIN ID:HNLA (714) 895-5494 CALSCIENCE ENVIRONMENTAL LAB ackaging 7611 Recipient's Obtain Recip. Acct. No. Address No. or Gredit Card No. below. We cannot d DIMS: 24x13x13 IN 7440 LINCOLN WAY , Third Party 4 Credit Card 5 Cash/Check GARDEN GROVE, CA 928411427 UNITED STATES US BILL SENDER Address 394-5 To request hald 21 a specific Fed. Exp. Date **TO SAMPLE CONTROL** SUV1 Pin Total Charges CAL SCIENCE LABS Credit Card Auth, 7440 LINCOLN-WAY ter value. See the FedEx Service Guide for detail out a Signature 8531 **GARDEN GROVE CA 92841** higment without obtaining a signature as from any resulting claims. 467 (714) 895-5494 REF: PRINTED IN U.S.A. SRY 03/05 FedEx Express 3201306280126 25 OCT FR AA 2 of 2 MPS# 7969 8623 7752 2DAY Mstr# 8531 6209 1939 0200 92841 VZ APVA CA-US SNA

| SAMPLE RECEIPT FORM Cooler / of Q CLIENT: | Calscience | - | W | ORK ORDER | #: 13- ′ | ^{Page} 10-∏ | 35 of 36 |
|--|--|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| CLIENT: | aboratories | , Inc. S | AMPLE REC | EIPT FO | RM | Cooler <u>/</u> | of |
| TEMPERATURE: Thermometer ID: SC2 (Criteria: 0.0 °C - 6.0 °C, not frozen except sediment/tissue) Temperature > | CLIENT: <u>EST</u> | | ····· | | DATE: | 10/2 | r/13_ |
| Temperature | TEMPERATURE: TI | nermometer | ID: SC2 (Criteria: 0.0 °C | C – 6.0 ℃, not froze | en except s | ediment/tis | sue) |
| □ Sample(s) outside temperature criteria but received on ice/chilled on same day of sampling. □ Received at ambient temperature, placed on ice for transport by Courier. Ambient Temperature: □ Air □ Received at ambient temperature, placed on ice for transport by Courier. Ambient Temperature: □ Air □ CUSTODY SEALS INTACT: □ No (Not Intact) □ Not Present □ NA □ Sample □ No (Not Intact) □ Not Present □ Checked by: £2 € □ Sample □ No (Not Intact) □ Not Present □ Checked by: £2 € □ Sample □ No (Not Intact) □ Not Present □ Checked by: £2 € □ Collection date/time, matrix, and/or # of containers logged in based on sample labels. □ □ □ □ □ Collection date/time, matrix, and/or # of containers logged in based on sample labels. □ □ □ □ □ Collection date/time, matrix, and/or # of containers logged in based on sample labels. □ □ □ □ □ Collection date/time, matrix, and/or # of containers logged in based on sample labels. □ □ □ □ □ Collection date/time, matrix, and/or # of containers logged in based on sample labels. □ □ □ □ □ Collection date/time, matrix, and/or # of containers logged in based on sample labels. □ □ □ □ | Temperature 🧕 🕹 | . <u> </u> | - 0.2°C (CF) = | <u> 3 °C</u> | 🗌 Blank | Sam Sam | ple |
| □ Sample(s) outside temperature criteria but received on ice/chilled on same day of sampling. □ Received at ambient temperature, placed on ice for transport by Courier. Ambient Temperature: □ Air □ Filter Checked by: 5/3 (□ CUSTODY SEALS INTACT: □ No (Not Intact) □ Not Present □ N/A Checked by: 5/3 (□ Sample □ □ No (Not Intact) □ Not Present □ N/A Checked by: 5/3 (□ Sample □ □ No (Not Intact) ○ Not Present Checked by: 5/3 (□ Sample □ No (Not Intact) ○ Not Present Checked by: 5/3 (□ Sample □ ○ N/A □ □ □ COC document(s) received complete. □ □ □ □ □ □ Collection data/time, matrix, and/or # of containers logged in based on sample labels. □ □ □ □ □ Collection data/time, matrix, and/or # of containers logged in based on sample labels. □ | Sample(s) outside | temperature | criteria (PM/APM contac | ted by:). | | | |
| □ Received at ambient temperature, placed on ice for transport by Courier. Ambient Temperature: □ Air □ Filter Checked by: $\frac{\sqrt{23}}{\sqrt{24}}$ CUSTODY SEALS INTACT: □ No (Not Intact) □ Not Present □ N/A Checked by: $\frac{\sqrt{23}}{\sqrt{24}}$ Sample □ □ No (Not Intact) □ Not Present □ N/A Checked by: $\frac{\sqrt{23}}{\sqrt{24}}$ SAMPLE CONDITION: Yes No N/A Checked viewed complete. □ □ □ Collection date/time, matrix, and/or # of containers logged in based on sample labels. □ □ □ No analysis requested. □ □ □ Sample container label(s) consistent with COC. □ □ □ Sample container label(s) consistent with COC. □ □ □ Sample container label(s) consistent with COC. □ □ □ Aqueous samples received within holding time. □ □ □ □ Proper containers and sufficient volume for analyses requested. □ □ □ □ Proper preservation noted on COC or sample container. □ □ □ □ Proper preservation noted on COC or sample container. □ □ □ | □ Sample(s) outside | temperature | criteria but received on i | ce/chilled on same | day of samp | ling. | |
| Ambient Temperature: Air Filter Checked by: £3¢ CUSTODY SEALS INTACT: No (Not Intact) Not Present N/A Checked by: £3 ¢ Sample | □ Received at ambie | ent tempera | ture, placed on ice fo | or transport by C | ourier. | | |
| CUSTODY SEALS INTACT: Cooler | Ambient Temperatur | e: □ Air | □ Filter | | | Checked | d by: <u>836</u> |
| Cooler No (Not Intact) Not Present N/A Checked by: | CUSTODY SEALS I | NTACT: | | | | | |
| Sample | Cooler | | □ No (Not Intact) | □ Not Present | □ N/A | Checked | by: <u>836</u> |
| SAMPLE CONDITION: Yes No N/A Chain-Of-Custody (COC) document(s) received with samples | □ Sample □ | | □ No (Not Intact) | Not Present | | Checked | i by: <u>895</u> |
| Chain-Of-Custody (COC) document(s) received with samples | SAMPLE CONDITIO | DN: | · | | Yes | No | N/A |
| COC document(s) received complete | Chain-Of-Custody (CC |)C) documer | nt(s) received with san | nples | | | |
| □ Collection date/time, matrix, and/or # of containers logged in based on sample labels. □ No analysis requested. □ Not relinquished. □ No date/time relinquished. Sampler's name indicated on COC. □ □ □ Sample container label(s) consistent with COC. □ □ Sample container(s) intact and good condition. □ □ Proper containers and sufficient volume for analyses requested. □ □ Analyses received within holding time. □ □ Aqueous samples received within 15-minute holding time □ □ PH Residual Chlorine □ Dissolved Sulfides □ Dissolved Oxygen. □ Volatile analysis container(s) free of headspace. □ □ □ Volatile analysis container(s) free of headspace. □ □ □ Volatile analysis container(s) free of headspace. □ □ □ CONTAINER TYPE: □ □ □ □ Solid: □402CGJ □802CGJ □1602CGJ □Sleeve () □EnCores® □ □ Aqueous: ☑VOA ☑VOA ☑VOA □ □ □ □ Solid: <td>COC document(s) rec</td> <th>eived comple</th> <td>ete</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | COC document(s) rec | eived comple | ete | | | | |
| □ No analysis requested. □ Not relinquished. □ No date/time relinquished. Sampler's name indicated on COC | □ Collection date/time, | matrix, and/or # | # of containers logged in ba | ased on sample labels | 5. | | |
| Sampler's name indicated on COC | No analysis requeste | d. 🛛 Not rel | inquished. | me relinquished. | ~ | | |
| Sample container label(s) consistent with COC | Sampler's name indica | ated on COC | <u>.</u> | | | | |
| Sample container(s) intact and good condition | Sample container labe | I(s) consiste | ent with COC | | . 🖉 | | |
| Proper containers and sufficient volume for analyses requested | Sample container(s) ir | itact and goo | od condition | ····· | . Z | | |
| Analyses received within holding time | Proper containers and | sufficient vo | olume for analyses req | luested | . Z | | |
| Aqueous samples received within 15-minute holding time pH Residual Chlorine Proper preservation noted on COC or sample container. Proper preservation noted on COC or sample container. Proper preservation noted on COC or sample container. Unpreserved vials received for Volatiles analysis Volatile analysis container(s) free of headspace. Proper preservation noted on COC or sample container. Proper preservation noted on COC or sample container. Unpreserved vials received for Volatiles analysis Volatile analysis container(s) free of headspace. Proper preservation noted on COC or sample container. Proper preservation noted on COC or sample container. Unpreserved vials received for Volatiles analysis Volatile analysis container(s) free of headspace. Proper preservation noted on COC or sample container. Proper preservation Proper preservation noted on COC or sample container. Proper preservation | Analyses received with | nin holding ti | me | | . 🎽 | | |
| pH Residual Chlorine Dissolved Sulfides Dissolved Oxygen Proper preservation noted on COC or sample container. Image: Container of the container of the container. Image: Container of the container of the container. Image: Container of the container. Image: Container of the container of the container. Image: Container of the container. Image: Container of the container. Image: Container of the container of the container of the container of the container. Image: Container of the container. Image: Container of the container. Image: Container of the container. Image: Container of the container. Image: Container of the container. Image: Container of the container of the container of the container of the container. Image: Container of the container. Image: Container of the container of the container of the container of the container. Image: Container of the container. Image: Container of the container of the container of the container of the container. Image: Container of the container. Image: Container of the container of the container of the container. Image: Container of the container. Image: Container of the container of the container of the container. Image: Container of the container. Image: Container of the container of the container. Image: Container of the container. Image: Container of the container | Aqueous samples i | eceived with | nin 15-minute holding | time | | | |
| Proper preservation noted on COC or sample container | □ pH □ Residual Ch | Ilorine 🗆 Dis | solved Sulfides | olved Oxygen | 🗆 | | R |
| Volatile analysis container(s) free of headspace | Proper preservation no | oted on COC received for V | C or sample container. Iolatiles analysis | | | | |
| Tedlar bag(s) free of condensation. Image: Container: C: Clear A: Amber P: Plastic G: Glass J: Jar B: Bottle Z: Ziploc/Resealable Bag E: Envelope Image: Condensation Image: Container: C: Clear A: Amber P: Plastic G: Glass J: Jar B: Bottle Z: Ziploc/Resealable Bag E: Envelope Image: Condensation | Volatile analysis conta | iner(s) free o | of headspace | | | | |
| Solid: 4ozCGJ 8ozCGJ 16ozCGJ Sleeve () EnCores [®] TerraCores [®] Aqueous: VOA VOAh VOAna ₂ 125AGB 125AGBh 125AGBp 1AGB 1AGBna ₂ 1AGBs 500AGB 500AGJ 500AGJs 250AGB 250CGB 250CGBs 1PB 1PBna 500PB 250PB 250PBnt 125PB 125PBznna 100PJ 100PJna ₂ Air: Tedlar [®] Canister Other: Trip Blank Lot#: 131007 B Labeled/Checked by: 57 Container: C: Clear A: Amber P: Plastic G: Glass J: Jar B: Bottle Z: Ziploc/Resealable Bag E: Envelope Reviewed by: 336 | Tedlar bag(s) free of c | ondensation | l | | 🗆 | | Z |
| Aqueous: IVOA | Solid: | BozCGJ □ | 16ozCGJ □Sleeve (_ |) □EnCore | es [®] ⊡Terra | aCores® [|] |
| □500AGB □500AGJ □500AGJS □250AGB □250CGB □250CGBS □1PB □1PBna □500PB □250PB □250PBnt □125PB □125PBznna □100PJ □100PJna ₂ □ □ □ Air: □Tedlar [®] □Canister Other: □ Trip Blank Lot#: 131007 B Labeled/Checked by: 57 Container: C: Clear A: Amber P: Plastic G: Glass J: Jar B: Bottle Z: Ziploc/Resealable Bag E: Envelope Reviewed by: 326 | Aqueous: | 犭 OAh □VOA | na₂ □125AGB □125A | AGB h □125AGBp | | □1AGB na | ₂ □1AGB s |
| □250PB 1250PBnt 125PB □125PBznna □100PJ □100PJna2 □ □ □ Air: □Tedlar [®] □Canister Other: □ Trip Blank Lot#: 131007B Labeled/Checked by: 575 Container: C: Clear A: Amber P: Plastic G: Glass J: Jar B: Bottle Z: Ziploc/Resealable Bag E: Envelope Reviewed by: 336 | | □500AGJ | s □250AGB □250 | CGB □250CGB | s □1PB | □1PB na | □500PB |
| Air: DTedlar [®] Canister Other: Trip Blank Lot#: 1310678 Labeled/Checked by: 595 Container: C: Clear A: Amber P: Plastic G: Glass J: Jar B: Bottle Z: Ziploc/Resealable Bag E: Envelope Reviewed by: 336 | □250PB 2250PBn41 | □125PB □ | 125PB znna □100PJ | □100PJ na₂ □ | | |] |
| Container: C: Clear A: Amber P: Plastic G: Glass J: Jar B: Bottle Z: Ziploc/Resealable Bag E: Envelope Reviewed by: 136 | Air: □Tedlar [®] □Cani | ster Other: | Trip Blank | 、Lot#:131007日 | Labeled | I/Checked I | oy: 895 |
| | Container: C: Clear A: Ambe | er P: Plastic G: G | Blass J: Jar B: Bottle Z: Ziploo | c/Resealable Bag E: E | nvelope | Reviewed b | y: <u>836</u> |

| etur |
|------|
| С |
| |
| |
| |

| | | | | Page | 36 of 36 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Calscience Environmental Aboratories, Inc. | WC | | #: 13-1 | 10-🛛 | 893 |
| SAMPL | E REC | EIPT FO | RM | Cooler _ | ⊋ of <u></u> |
| CLIENT: ESI | | | DATE: | 10/25 | /13 |
| TEMPERATURE: Thermometer ID: SC2 (0 | Criteria: 0.0 °C | – 6.0 °C, not froze | en except s | ediment/tiss | sue) |
| Temperature <u>a</u> . <u>8</u> °C - 0.2°C | (CF) = <u>2</u> | ℃ <u></u> | 🗆 Blank | 🛛 Samp | ole |
| Sample(s) outside temperature criteria (PI | M/APM contac | ted by:). | | | |
| Sample(s) outside temperature criteria but | t received on id | ce/chilled on same o | day of samp | ling. | |
| □ Received at ambient temperature, plac | ced on ice fo | r transport by Co | ourier. | Ŧ | |
| Ambient Temperature: Air Filter | | · . | | Checked | by: <u>836</u> |
| CUSTODY SEALS INTACT: | | · | | | |
| Cooler 🗆 🗠 No (| (Not Intact) | Not Present | □ N/A | Checked | by: <u>836</u> |
| □ Sample □ □ No (| (Not Intact) | Not Present | | Checked | by: <u>895</u> |
| | | | | | |
| SAMPLE CONDITION: | ived with ear | | Yes | No | N/A |
| Chain-Ol-Custody (COC) document(s) rece | ived with sam | ipies | | | |
| COC document(s) received complete | ore logged in be | sod on sample labels | | | |
| □ No analysis requested. □ Not relinquished. | logged in ba | ne relinguished. | | | |
| Sampler's name indicated on COC | | • | . Þ | | |
| Sample container label(s) consistent with C | oc | | . Z | | |
| Sample container(s) intact and good conditi | on | | Í | | |
| Proper containers and sufficient volume for | analyses req | uested | Ĺ | | |
| Analyses received within holding time | | | | | |
| Aqueous samples received within 15-mir | nute holding t | ime | | | |
| 🗆 pH 🛛 Residual Chlorine 🛛 Dissolved Sulf | ides 🗆 Disso | lved Oxygen | . 🗆 | | Ø |
| Proper preservation noted on COC or samp | le container. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | . 🗹 | | |
| Unpreserved vials received for Volatiles an | alysis | | | | |
| Volatile analysis container(s) free of headsp | ace | | | | |
| Tedlar bag(s) free of condensation CONTAINER TYPE: | | | | | Z |
| Solid: □4ozÇGJ □8ozCGJ □16ozCGJ | □Sleeve (_ |) □EnCore | s® ⊡Terra | aCores® 🗆 | l |
| Aqueous: ⋬VqA □VOAh □VOAna₂ □125 | 5AGB □125A | GB h □125AGBp | DIAGB | □1AGB na ₂ | □1AGB s |
| □500AGB \$\$00AGJ □500AGJs □250 | AGB □2500 | CGB □250CGBs | s ⊡1PB | □1PB na | □500PB |
| □250PB 250PBn#□125PB □125PBzn | na □100PJ | □100PJ na₂ □ | | |] |
| Air: □Tedlar [®] □Canister Other: □ Container: C: Clear A: Amber P: Plastic G: Glass J: Jar B Preservative: h: HCL n: HNO ₃ na ₂ :Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃ na: NaOH p: H ₃ | Trip Blank 3: Bottle Z: Ziploc PO₄ s: H₂SO₄ u : U | Lot#: /Resealable Bag E: Er ltra-pure znna: ZnAc ₂ +Na | Labelec nvelope I aOH f: Filtered | l/Checked b Reviewed by Scanned b | y: <u>895</u> y: <u>836</u> y: <u>836</u> |

Return to Contents

APPENDIX D

Historical Groundwater Exceedance Trends



Data points for 10/21/2010 through 1/24/2012 and 11/07/2012 through 10/23/2013 are the average of the primary and duplicate samples. Unfilled boxes indicate non-detections. Method detection limits are shown.



Unfilled boxes indicate non-detections. Method detection limits are shown.

APPENDIX E

Waste Disposal Manifest

| | | 1 Generator ID Number | | | | | 1.4.111-11 | | <u> </u> | | |
|--------|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| | WASTE MANIFEST | HIR 000 | 050 401 | 2. Page 1 of 3 | 808-206- | 9989 | 4. Waste i | racking Num | iber () | 0002: | 1584 |
| | 5. Generator's Name and Malli COMNAVREG HAW 400 MARSHALL | ng Address JAII, C/O NAVFAC ROAD, ATTN: ESTI | HAWAII, CO ELITA HIGA | DE PRJ42 | enerator's Site Addres | s (if different th | ian mailing addr | ess) | HI AGE FA | C855: | |
| | JBPHH, HI 968 Generator's Phone: | 160-3139 <u>8</u> 08-471-4216 | | | AIEA | , HI 90 | 5701 | | 1,010 211 | | - |
| | 6. Transporter 1 Company Nam | le | | | | | U.S. EPA ID | Number | | | |
| | 7. Transporter 2 Company Nam | RCIAL SERVICES, e | LLC. | 808 | 1-545-4599 | | U.S. EPA ID | <u>RO(</u> Number | 009 | 78 | 24 |
| | UNITEK SOLVEN 8. Designated Facility Name and | T SERVICES, INC. d Site Address | -OAHU | . 808 | -682-8284 | | U.S. EPA ID I | <u>D 9 8</u> Number | 244 | 371 | 5 |
| | UNITEK SOLVEN 91-125 KAOMI KAPOLEI, HI Facility's Phone: | T SERVICES, INC. LOOP 96707 | | | | | H I | D 9 8 | 244 | 37 | 15 |
| | 9. Waste Shipping Name | and Description | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 10. Conte | iners Type | 11. Total Quantity | 12. Unit Wt Mol | | | |
| RATOR | 1. MATERIA (WELL PURG | L NOT REGULATED E AND DECONTAMIN | BY DOT ATION WATER | .) | | Type | | | | NON-F | CRA |
| - GENE | 2. | | | | | DM | | G | | | |
| | 3. | | | | | | | | | | and the second secon |
| | 4. | PPM | Dil | | | | | | | | |
| | 13. Special Handling Instructions | and Additional Information | PA | - <u>6</u> | | | | | | | |
| | 9b1:NR GENBRATOR'S CERTIFICAT SHIPPING NANB (WHERE A BY HIGHWAY ACCORDING TO CFR PART 279; THAT IT I BRANG SFRAY, FROM, 102, 14, GENERATOR'S/OFFEROR'S marked and labeled/placarde | ION: I HEREBY DECLARE THAT PPLICABLE) AND ARE CLASSIFT O APPLICABLE GOVERNMET REC DOES NOT CONTAIN PCBS GREAT DOESNOT CONTAIN PCBS GREAT DOESNOT DOESNOT, OR OTH S CERTIFICATION: I hereby declare d, and are in all respects in proper of | THE CONTENTS OF 1 ED, PACKED, MARKEI SULATIONS. I FURTH ER THAN OR EQUAL 1 IN HEARDOWS HATERS that the contents of this c nullion for transport acco | THIS CONSIGNM , AND LABELI IER CERTIFY TH NO 2 PEM; AND CALS AND/OR HA consignment are fur rding to applicable | 2008 ENT ARE FULLY AND ED AND ARE IN ALL LAT IF THIS IS THAT IT HAS NOT ZARDOUS HAPPEN- ly and accurately desc internalional and natio | 9b1: ACCURATEL RESPECTS ED OIL IT BEEN CONTAI fibed above by nal governmen | Y DESCRIBED IN PROPER CO IS SUBJECT 7 MINATED WITH the proper ship tal regulations. | TOTAL F ABOVE BY DEDITION P TO REGULAT A CARBURAT ping name, a | IALOGEN: PROPER OR TRANSPOR ION UNDER 4 OR CLEANERS IND ARE CLASSIFIED | 4474 400 2 0 1. package | ed, |
| ¥ | Generator's/Offeror's Enned/Typ Scott Simme To Infernational Shinments | ons for COM | NAVREG | Signatu | ant | Zin | ma | | Month | Day | ^{Year} 13 |
| | Transporter Signature (for export | Import to U.S. s only): | | Export from LLS. | -Port of ente Date leavin | y/exit: g_U.S.: | | | | | |
| ЩЩ | 16. Transporter Acknowledgment | of Receipt of Materials | | Signatu | ·o | | | | | | |
| SPOR | Kussell Cas | fellano | | | land | Caster | lla | | 12 | Day | 13 |
| TRAN | Transporter 2 Printed/Typed Nam | marafa | | | - Th | Ţ_ |) | | Month | Day | Year Year |
| | 17a. Discrepancy Indication Space | e Quantity - | 🗌 Туре | | Residue | [| Partial Rejec | tion | | II Rejectio | on n |
| } | 17b. Alternate Facility (or Genera | tor) | | | Manifest Reference Nu | mber: | U.S. EPA ID NU | mber | | | |
| FACI | Facility's Phone: | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 17c. Signature of Alternate Facilit | y (or Generator) | | | | | | | Month | Day | Year |
| | PCS PROL SEE CO | HOED THE K | T KILNIA | FEST | Mulb | 4r | | | | | |
| | 18. Designated Facility Owner or | Operator: Certification of receipt of m | aterials covered by the ma | anifest except as n | oted in Item 17a | $\frac{1}{1}$ | | | <u>-</u> | | |
| ↓ [| Printed/Typed Name P · | ALHAMBRA | ۶ | Signature | , (| J. | | | Month | ^{Day} P | Year |

6 12/1)....

U DESIGNATED FACILITY TO GENERATOR

dh.