

ADDENDUM NO. 1  
NOTICE TO RESPONDENTS  
REQUEST FOR INFORMATION (RFI)

**NOTICE TO ALL RESPONDENTS:**

This Addendum No. 1 is hereby made part of the Notice to Respondents RFI for the Granular Activated Carbon Reactivation Facility and shall amend said Notice to Respondents RFI document.

**1. CHANGES TO SECTION II. OF RFI:**

Section II. (REQUESTED INFORMATION) is hereby amended to add the following: (additions are indicated in bold and highlighted)

**“II. REQUESTED INFORMATION**

BWS is requesting interest and information regarding designing, building, operating, and maintaining a GAC reactivation facility on Oahu. The Contractor will be responsible for reactivating a minimum of one million pounds of spent GAC per year. **The contractor is responsible to reactivate the spent GAC at sufficiently high temperatures to destroy constituents including, but not limited to, 1,2,3-Trichloropropane and PFAS/PFOA compounds. In addition, the contractor is responsible to treat the off-gases in the exhaust from the reactivation process with best available control technology unit processes to meet all local, state, and federal emissions regulations to the satisfaction of the BWS.** Please refer to the appendix for general site information regarding the potential locations for a GAC reactivation facility.

**A. General Information**

1. What is your level of interest in pursuing this Project?
2. Provide a brief company history.
3. Provide qualifications for all work pertaining to supplying GAC, GAC reactivation, and GAC changeout and disposal.
4. Provide short-term alternatives for GAC disposal when the Waimanalo Gulch landfill closes and the reactivation facility is still under construction.
5. Provide information on knowledge of permitting requirements for similar facilities.
6. Provide information on knowledge of Hawai'i permitting requirements.”

## **2. QUESTIONS & ANSWERS:**

**QUESTION 1:** Who would own the facility? (The RFI is for design, build, operate and maintain; ownership is not stated).

**RESPONSE:** For HBWS-owned property, the facility would be owned by HBWS. For non-HBWS-owned property, the facility would be owned by the DBOM contractor.

**QUESTION 2:** After regenerating the spent carbon from BWS (not other carbons) at the reactivation plant: does BWS intend to use that carbon again (a custom react carbon)?

- a. IE does BWS intend to take back the reactivated carbon from this facility for reuse or does BWS plan to use the reactivation facility for disposal of their carbon only?

**RESPONSE:** HBWS intends to take back the reactivated carbon from the facility for reuse.

**QUESTION 3:** If BWS does not intend to reuse the reactivated carbon for their own purposes, who would be responsible for the sale of the reactivated carbon from the facility?

- a. IE Operator of plant is responsible for selling or using the reactivated material since BWS will continue to purchase virgin material elsewhere.

**RESPONSE:** see previous response.

**QUESTION 4:** If the site took back spent carbon from BWS AND other spent carbon from other generators, would BWS still want that carbon back?

**RESPONSE:** HBWS would want their reactivated carbon back. HBWS would not want reactivated carbon that is sourced from other generators of spent carbon.

- a. If no to 4., can we assume then that the operator of the reactivation facility would be responsible for selling the reactivated carbon and BWS would buy other (virgin) material elsewhere?

**RESPONSE:** See above response.