

FITCH AFFIRMS HONOLULU BD OF WATER SUPPLY, HI WATER REVS AT 'AA+'; OUTLOOK STABLE

Fitch Ratings-San Francisco-01 November 2018: Fitch Ratings has affirmed the 'AA+-' rating on the following bonds issued by the Board of Water Supply City and County of Honolulu, HI (BWS):

--Approximately \$214.8 million water system revenue bonds, series 2012A, 2014A and 2014B (taxable).

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

SECURITY

The bonds are secured by net revenues of BWS's water system.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

STRONG FINANCIAL POSITION: Financial performance and liquidity are strong. Revenue growth has outpaced expenditures in recent years, driven by annual rate adjustments through fiscal 2016. Margins are expected to remain robust over the next several years with adopted rate increases in fiscals 2020 through 2023.

AMPLE, HIGH-QUALITY SUPPLY: The system has sufficient groundwater rights to meet its anticipated needs with limited treatment costs and no regulatory issues at present. Although there is some concern about potential contamination of aquifers serving BWS's service area resulting from leaks at the Navy's Red Hill bulk fuel storage facility (RHBFSF), none have been detected at any of BWS's drinking water wells to date.

RELATIVELY HIGH COMBINED BILL: An approved five-year rate package will fund about 75% of the five-year capital program from revenues. While water rates are moderate, the combined bill with high sewer charges is slightly above Fitch's affordability threshold and may limit future rate flexibility.

CAPITAL INVESTMENT TO INCREASE: System infrastructure is relatively old compared with other utilities, although operating metrics appear sound. The fiscal 2019-2023 CIP ramps up spending in part to accelerate replacement of ageing pipelines. BWS will increase its debt by about 70% over the next five years with the planned borrowing of \$200 million, increasing currently low debt levels to moderate levels. In addition, it anticipates considerable borrowing beyond the five-year horizon.

STABLE SERVICE AREA: BWS provides water service to the entire island of Oahu. Residential customers provide around 60% of revenues.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

MATERIAL ESCALATION IN LEVERAGE: Given the projected long-term ramp up in capital spending and borrowing over the five-year horizon and beyond indicated by the Board of Water Supply's long range financial plan, the debt profile of the system could ultimately become pressured.

GROUNDWATER CONTAMINATION: Contamination of aquifers that threatens the Board of Water Supply's drinking water supplies and leads to significant increases in capital and/or operating costs, particularly resulting from the Navy's RHBFSF leaks, could negatively affect credit quality.

CREDIT PROFILE

BWS provides water service to the entire island of Oahu (city and county of Honolulu) with an estimated residential population of around 1 million. BWS serves approximately 170,000 accounts, over 90% of which are residential, lending stability to the customer base. BWS does not serve the large military installations on the island, since those sites have their own well systems and permitted pumping amounts from the state. User concentration is moderate, with the top 10 historically making up about 18% of water revenues. However, the top three users are governmental entities, which helps mitigate concentration risk. The largest private user accounts for only 1% of revenues.

STRONG FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

Financial performance has been strong, with debt service coverage (DSC) of revenue bonds at least 6.0x for the past three years. Revenue bond DSC in fiscal 2017 was 6.1x and all-in DSC (including the system's subordinate state revolving fund [SRF] loans) was 5.2x, including connection fee revenues. The BWS budget indicates revenue bond DSC at 4.3x in fiscal 2018; however, this is likely conservative as management indicated operating expenditures are likely to come in lower as the board budgeted fully for all vacant positions. The financial forecast projects DSC of revenue bonds to remain over 5.0x through fiscal 2021 before declining to 3.9x in fiscal 2022 as debt service payments from additional planned borrowing rolls on. Total DSC is forecasted to remain above 3.1x through fiscal 2022, which exceeds BWS's policy target of 1.6x.

Liquidity has increased over the last five years to a very high 963 days cash on hand (\$92 million unrestricted and \$269 million restricted but available) at fiscal year-end 2017. Cash balances have climbed steadily in recent years as revenue adjustments from annual rate hikes have outpaced expected increases in operating and capital expenditures. With capital costs rising, cash reserves may be drawn down somewhat over the next several years but should remain robust.

INCREASED CAPITAL SPENDING; DEBT

Both capital spending and borrowing are set to increase upon BWS's recent completion of its Long Range Financial Plan, which reflects priorities included in its 30-year water master plan (WMP) completed in October 2016. BWS's fiscal 2019-2023 capital improvement program (CIP) increased significantly to about \$762 million from \$442 million for the 2016-2020 CIP and focuses on renewal and replacement (R&R) of system assets. Notably, the CIP materially increases the pace of pipeline replacement from six miles per year over about the last decade to 21 miles per year over a 10-year period. The CIP reflects a near doubling of capital spending to around \$150 million annually from \$80 million in recently years. The LRFP estimates further increases to approximately \$255 million per year by fiscal 2028 and sustained pipeline replacement investments through fiscal 2047. Management expects to issue approximately \$200 million in revenue bonds (approximately 25% of CIP) to fund capital spending through fiscal 2023, with pay-go comprising the balance. Further, the LRFP indicates annual debt issuance of \$105 million-\$206 million from fiscal 2024 to 2028. Currently, BWS's debt metrics are relatively low and expected to remain moderate even with the expected \$200 million additional debt. Fiscal 2017 debt to net plant of 28% and debt to funds available for debt service of 2.8x are low compared with overall medians of 41% and 5.7x, respectively. Debt per customer of \$1,866 will remain in line with medians at about \$2,286 through the forecast period. BWS's debt amortization is relatively rapid with all principal repaid within 20 years. However, given the additional level of expected borrowing after fiscal 2023, debt and leverage metrics may be pressured beyond the five-year horizon.

Honolulu's average age of plant of 21 years, compared with Fitch's median for the 'AA' category of 15 years, indicating aging infrastructure. Nevertheless, operations appear sound and non-revenue water is relatively low, measuring just 7.4% for fiscal 2017. Free cash to depreciation has been above 100% for the past four years.

RATE FLEXIBILITY DESPITE HIGH COMBINED RATES

The board recently adopted a five-year rate plan through fiscal 2023. The rate package is intended to support a capital and financing program based off the CIP stemming from the WMP. Rates remained flat in fiscals 2017-2019 and will increase approximately 2.4% in fiscal 2020, 3.2% in fiscal 2021, 6.1% in fiscal 2022 and 5.2% in fiscal 2023 (calculated based on 7,500 gallons usage per month). In December 2011, the board adopted a five-year package of rate increases that raised rates 9.65% annually through fiscal 2016.

Water rates are relatively low at approximately \$42.41/month or 0.63% of MHI, given 7,500 gallons of usage even following the most recent rate increases, primarily as a result of the system not having to pay for water supply or expensive treatment processes. However, the combined bill reflects high wastewater charges and is slightly above Fitch's 2% of MHI affordability threshold at approximately \$148 per month or 2.2% of median household income. In addition, this will rise given expected water and wastewater rates hikes over at least the next several years. While rates above the affordability threshold can indicate rate sensitivity in the service area, BWS has demonstrated a consistent approach of implementing annual rate increases to fund needed capital investment.

AMPLE HIGH-QUALITY WATER SUPPLY BUT CONTAMINATION CONCERNS

Water supply is provided from groundwater. The groundwater supply is high quality and requires very limited treatment, resulting in a low-cost supply. The water supply is provided by aquifers located under the island, the largest of which is the Pearl Harbor aquifer. Currently, there is a sustainable yield of 407 million gallons per day (mgd) available water supply on the island, of which 294 mgd is permitted. BWS's authorized use is 182.6 mgd, which is sufficient to meet system sales of 136.5 mgd in fiscal 2017. Although excess capacity exists, water use has been largely flat for the past 10 years, given conservation efforts and lower agricultural use. The WMP estimates an increase in demand of between 5% and 15% through 2040 and notes sufficient supply through at least that period.

In January 2014, one of the fuel tanks at the U.S. Navy's RHBFSF leaked an estimated 27,000 gallons of jet fuel into the aquifer that provides around 25% of the drinking water to metro Honolulu. To date, no contamination has been detected at any of BWS's drinking water wells, although contamination has occurred at some of the monitoring wells located on Navy property. The Navy, along with the Department of Defense's logistics agency, entered into an administrative order of consent with state and federal regulators in 2015 to upgrade the fuel tanks and take steps to address the fuel releases, among other items. BWS is working closely with regulators and the Navy to ensure drinking water supplies are protected. The Navy is reportedly considering several solutions that will need to be submitted to regulatory agencies. In the event contamination from the RHBFSF spill were to occur at one of BWS's potable wells, BWS has the capacity to meet customer demands from other supply sources on an interim basis, although over the long term, remediation of the aquifer would be required. Such operating and capital costs could be significant and might be borne, at least initially, by BWS.

Contact:

Primary Analyst
Shannon Groff
Director
+1-415-732-5628

Fitch Ratings, Inc.
One Post Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94108

Secondary Analyst
Doug Scott
Managing Director
+1-512-215-3725

Committee Chairperson
Kathy Masterson
Senior Director
+1-512-215-3730

In addition to the sources of information identified in Fitch's applicable criteria specified below, this action was informed by information from Lumesis.

A January 2018 district court ruling that dismissed claims regarding payment of Puerto Rico Highways and Transportation Authority debt has raised questions about the scope of protections provided by Chapter 9 of the U.S. bankruptcy code to bonds secured by pledged special revenues. Fitch's rating criteria treat special revenue obligations as independent from the related municipality's general credit quality. The outcome of the litigation could result in modifications to Fitch's approach. For more information, see "What Investors Want to Know: The Impact of the Puerto Rico Ruling on Special Revenue Debt" available at www.fitchratings.com.

Media Relations: Sandro Scenga, New York, Tel: +1 212 908 0278, Email: sandro.scenga@fitchratings.com.

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

Applicable Criteria

Rating Criteria for Public-Sector, Revenue-Supported Debt (pub. 26 Feb 2018)

<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10020113>

U.S. Water and Sewer Rating Criteria (pub. 30 Nov 2017)

<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10010508>

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2018 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by

their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.